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ABSTRACT

The neural cell adhesion molecule L1, a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily, performs important functions in the developing and in the adult nervous system. These include processes such as neuronal cell migration, neurite elongation, fasciculation and pathfinding of axons, and synaptic plasticity. Several mutations in the L1 gene cause congenital neurological anomalies in humans pooled under the term “L1 spectrum”, formerly “CRASH syndrome”. Some of the mutations lead to ablation of cell surface expression of L1 and L1 deficient mice have proven to be an animal model for this disease. The mutant mouse has helped in understanding a lot of the functions of L1. Prominent features are reduced corticospinal tract, hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis, and hydrocephalus which can be explained with the loss of L1 as guidance cue in development. Since the KO mouse is constitutive, defects due to the lack of L1 in the mature nervous system cannot be distinguished from those arising at earlier stages. To amend this problem a mouse was designed here expressing transgenic L1 under the control of the Thy-1.2 promoter on neurons starting around postnatal day 7. This mouse was then crossed into the KO background to analyse whether recovery of defects (and which ones) of the KO mouse could be achieved by late transgenic L1 expression. In addition, the KO mouse was characterised for the first time in detail regarding behaviour (in comparison with the KO_T mouse) gaining new insights into L1 function in vivo.

The new mouse line expressed transgenic L1 in neurons reaching a peak level stable throughout adulthood by postnatal day 13. Transgenic L1 was delivered to the cell surface in amounts comparable to wildtype level, though some cells carried substantial intracellular deposits of L1. L1 function was re-established completely by transgenic L1 regarding elongation of cell processes impaired in KO neurons in the neurite outgrowth assay of cerebellar granule cells in vitro. For most typical defects of KO mice no rescue was observed in vivo.

The behavioural characterisation of KO mice revealed a distinct phenotype due to the deficiency in L1. They displayed higher trait anxiety, along with lower state anxiety in several paradigms. Reduced response to 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia suggested disturbance in the serotonergic pathway perhaps related to the altered anxiety state. Concerning locomotor activity there was no difference among the genotypes regarding spontaneous home cage activity, but KO mice moved more and faster in the open field and in the light/dark test than WT mice, perhaps a consequence of altered reaction to unknown territory rather than intrinsic
hyperlocomotion. The severe motor impairment in the pole test demonstrated the importance of L1 function embedded in the corticospinal tract. No alterations could be observed in the long-term memory in a passive avoidance paradigm. KO_T mice displayed partial recovery in the pole test and in some parameters measuring anxiety. The WT_T genotype served as control and verified that intracellular L1 did not cause adverse effects.

The only minor recovery effects by transgenic L1 in vivo may have been due to the late onset of expression or the different cell types expressing L1 not provided with the necessary equipment or not in the correct environment for L1 function. The origin of defects may be early in development and of a severity impossible to overcome in the complex environment of the nervous system in contrast to isolated cells in vitro. Despite this, the partial recovery by transgenic L1 in the KO background confirmed defects of KO mice as specific for L1.
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Die nur geringen re-etablierenden Effekte durch transgenes L1 in vivo könnte durch den späten Beginn der Expression oder durch die unterschiedlichen Zelldurchmischungen verursacht sein, die L1 exprimierten, aber nicht mit der notwendigen Maschinerie ausgestattet waren oder nicht im richtigen Umfeld für L1 Funktion lagen. Der Ursprung der Defekte könnte früh in der Entwicklung gelegen haben und von einem Schweregrad gewesen sein, der unmöglich zu überwinden war in dem komplexen Umfeld des Nervensystems im Gegensatz zu isolierten Zellen in vitro. Trotz allem bestätigte diese, wenn auch nur partielle, Kompensation durch transgenes L1 im KO Hintergrund, Defekte der KO Maus als spezifisch für L1.
I INTRODUCTION

1 Cell adhesion molecules in the nervous system

It is vital for every organism to develop and maintain its complex units. The nervous system is an example of nature’s sophisticated design to achieve this. Events such as induction, proliferation, and differentiation of cells mark the development. The refined architecture is determined by position, morphology, and connectivity with the environment (neighbouring cells and extracellular matrix) of every single cell embedded. It is established by cell migration and directed extension, arborisation, and bundling of cell processes along attractive and repulsive guidance cues and interweaving the cells. Also the mature nervous system experiences highly dynamic processes such as changes in connectivity of the cells converting signals in learning (synaptic plasticity). This remodelling of the network challenges strict organisation in balance with the required stability. Communication between cell-cell and cell-matrix is essential to form a functioning entity and neural cell adhesion molecules make a major contribution (Rutishauser, 1993). Their name dates back to the initial discovery of their ability to hold cells together. Today their role in the processes involving cell signalling is more appropriately acknowledged by the term cell recognition molecules.

One distinguishes three classes of cell recognition molecules in the nervous system: the cadherins (Shapiro et al., 1998), the integrins (Albelda et al., 1990), and the immunoglobulin superfamily (Uyemura et al., 1996; Rougon et al., 2003). Since their function is relevant also for processes in other tissue, they are not confined to the nervous system. An additional class is known in the immune system, the selectins (Tedder et al., 1995).

2 The immunoglobulin superfamily of neural cell adhesion molecules

As the name immunoglobulin superfamily already indicates proteins of this group share the presence of at least one immunoglobulin-like domain allowing cell adhesion independent of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 1). A similar module is typical of proteins assisting the immune response, i.e. antibody (Edelman et al., 1987), which enables recognition and binding of structures with high specificity. The common feature suggests an evolutionary connection and it has been verified that duplication and diversification of originally few genes laid the basis for the emergence of the large family of cell recognition molecules (Williams and Barclay, 1988). The family is divided into subfamilies according to characteristics such as presence of repeated fibronectin type III domains (originally identified as motif in the extracellular matrix
molecule fibronectin, Kornblihtt et al., 1985), presence of catalytic domains, and type of attachment to the cell membrane (Brümmendorf and Rathjen, 1996). Among members with catalytic activity receptor type phosphotyrosine phosphatases (RPTP) and receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) are distinguished. Representatives of proteins attached via GPI linker to the membrane are TAG-1, contactin, and BIG. Particular attention has been paid to the role members of this family play in axon growth and guidance, a central process in the development of the nervous system (Walsh and Doherty, 1997a).

Fig. 1 Representatives of different subgroups of the immunoglobulin superfamily of cell adhesion molecules. Members of the Ig superfamily consist of an extracellular domain with Ig-like modules and, in part, fibronectin type III (FNIII) repeats, a single transmembrane region or a GPI-anchor, and in most cases an intracellular domain. N-CAM (neural cell adhesion molecule), DCC (deleted in colorectal cancer), MAG (myelin associated glycoprotein), FGF-R (fibroblast growth factor receptor), Ig (immunoglobulin), GPI (glycophosphatidylinositol).

3 The L1 subfamily of the immunoglobulin superfamily

The L1 subfamily is a group of molecules within the immunoglobulin superfamily. L1, CHL1 (close homologue of L1, Holm et al., 1996), NrCAM (neuron-glia CAM related cell adhesion molecule, Grumet et al., 1991), and neurofascin (Volkmer et al., 1992) in vertebrates, neuroglian (drosophila, Bieber et al., 1989) and tractin (leech, Huang et al., 1997) in invertebrates are among members. The proteins display high similarity in the composition and conformation of their modules, usually comprising six immunoglobulin-like domains at the N-terminus, followed by three to five fibronectin type III domains, a single
transmembrane segment, and a short highly conserved cytoplasmic region (Hortsch, 2000). The glycoproteins are predominantly expressed by neuronal and glial cells widespread throughout the developing nervous system from postmitotic stage on, with particularly high levels along major axonal pathways suggesting involvement in guidance and fasciculation of neurons. Indeed, their function is crucial for a lot of other steps as well ranging from myelination, to morphogenesis, and cell migration (Hortsch, 1996). They mediate effects through homophilic or heterophilic binding of their extracellular domains and the interaction of their intracellular region with the cytoskeleton and further binding partners triggers important processes (Brümmendorf et al., 1998).

4  L1 - the founding member of the L1 subfamily

L1 was one of the first isolated and characterised cell adhesion molecules (Rathjen and Schachner, 1984). Homologues of L1 exist in several species, i.e. LAD-1 (L1-like adhesion-1, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, Chen et al., 2001), neuroglian (drosophila, Bieber et al., 1989), L1.1 and L1.2 (zebrafish, Tongiorgi et al., 1995), E587 (goldfish, Vielmetter et al., 1991), Ng-CAM (neuron-glia cell adhesion molecule, chicken, Grumet and Edelman, 1984), and NILE (nerve growth factor inducible large external glycoprotein, rat, Salton et al., 1983). The amino acid sequence similarity of these proteins ranges between 30 and 60 % (Hlavin and Lemmon, 1991; Hortsch, 2000). The cytoplasmic part shows remarkable conservation in general, reaching even complete identity in human, rat and mouse. The presence of homologues across diverse species and the high degree of conservation in the course of evolution speaks for the key role L1 owns.

4.1  Molecular structure and genetics

The size of full length L1 is approximately 200 kD. Proteolytic cleavage gives rise to smaller forms with a molecular weight of 180, 140, 80 and 50 kD (Sadoul et al., 1988). The extracellular domains contain several glycosylation sites of N- and O-type linkage accounting for 25 % of the total molecular mass of L1.

The structure of the protein is characteristic of its family consisting of a single chain starting with six immunoglobulin-like domains at the N-terminus, followed by five fibronectin type III domains, a transmembrane segment and a short cytoplasmic tail (Fig. 2). The immunoglobulin-like domains are folded into a horseshoe shaped conformation rather than extended (Schürmann et al., 2001).
Fig. 2 **Structure of L1.** The molecule consists of six immunoglobulin domains, five fibronectin type III repeats next to the N-terminal. The single transmembrane pass is followed by a short intracellular domain. Glycosylation sites are distributed over the extracellular part and indicated as black dots.

The gene coding for L1 is located on the X chromosome and contains 28 codons that are translated preceded by exon 1a comprising 5' untranslated sequences (Kohl et al., 1992; Kallunki et al., 1997). The mRNA provides an open reading frame of 3783 nucleotides. The mature protein of 1241 amino acids is generated by removal of a signal peptide sequence 19 amino acids long (Moos et al., 1988). Two tissue and cell specific isoforms are known for L1 resulting from alternative splicing (Takeda et al., 1996). The neural isoform is based on the sequence including all 28 exons coding for L1, while the non-neuronal isoform (blood lymphocytes, kidney, Schwann cells) lacks residues encoded in exons 2 and 27. Oligodendrocytes have been found to express both isoforms in a maturation dependent manner (Itoh et al., 2000). Exon 27 codes for the amino acid sequence RSLE within the cytoplasmic region. It represents the tyrosine based sorting motif YRSL required in clathrin mediated endocytosis (Kamiguchi et al., 1998a). Exon 2 codes for the six amino acid stretch YEGHHV (human) or YKGHHV (mouse) in the extracellular part of L1 preceding the first immunoglobulin-like domain (Jouet et al., 1995). It appears to be responsible for homophilic binding and neurite outgrowth promoting properties of L1 (De Angelis et al., 2001; Jacob et al., 2002).

### 4.2 Expression – function correlation

Here the focus is on L1 in the nervous system, although it is also expressed in other tissue such as the crypt cells of the intestine (Thor et al., 1987), the epithelia of the kidney (Nolte et al., 1999), and T- and B-cells of the immune system (Ebeling et al., 1996). The upregulation of expression in tumour cells suggests its involvement in cancer (Meli et al., 1999).

In the nervous system L1 expression is temporally and spatially regulated. It is detected from embryonic day 10 onwards in the central nervous system on postmitotic
neurons and the distribution in the developing nervous system already indicates its role in late cell migration (Rathjen and Schachner, 1984; Fushiki and Schachner, 1986). Studies in young mice showed expression of L1 in the hippocampus is restricted to fasciculating axons forming the stratum moleculare and the hilus where expression increases with age while dendrites and regions rich in cell body remain negative for L1 (Persohn and Schachner, 1990). Again the expression profile is indicative of one of its functions, here fasciculation of axons. From observations in the developing cerebellum corresponding conclusion could be drawn (Persohn and Schachner, 1987). In adulthood expression of L1 is continued on unmyelinated axons, but it disappears from myelinated axons, i.e. white matter (Bartsch et al., 1989). In the peripheral nervous system L1 is also found on non-myelinating Schwann cells (Martini and Schachner, 1986). L1 has never been detected in synapses (Schuster et al., 2001).

Several functional assays have proven the expression pattern of L1 to be consistent with its function. During the development of the nervous system, L1 plays a role in migration of postmitotic neurons (Lindner et al., 1983; Asou et al., 1992), in axon outgrowth, pathfinding and fasciculation (Fischer et al., 1986; Lagenaur and Lemmon, 1987; Chang et al., 1987; Kunz et al., 1998), growth cone morphology (Payne et al., 1992; Burden-Gulley et al., 1995), adhesion between neurons and between neurons and Schwann cells (Rathjen and Schachner, 1984; Faisser et al., 1984; Persohn and Schachner, 1987), and myelination (Seilheimer et al., 1989). In addition, L1 has been implicated in axonal regeneration (Martini and Schachner, 1988), neuronal cell survival (Chen et al., 1999; Nishimune et al., 2005), and proliferation and differentiation of neurons (Dihné et al., 2003). Furthermore learning and memory formation (Rose, 1995; Venero et al., 2004) and the establishment of long-term potentiation in the hippocampus (Lüthi et al., 1996) are modulated by L1.

4.3 Mechanism of function

The variety of L1 function derives from its interaction with diverse binding partners and posttranslational modification as a trigger for signalling cascades. Cytoplasmic and extracellular part, cis and trans interaction, homophilic and heterophilic binding are factors involved. Only a few aspects shall be highlighted here.

Although the homophilic interaction (L1 – L1 binding) has been proven by neurite outgrowth assays of wildtype and L1 deficient neurons on purified L1 (Dahme et al., 1997) the debate is still continuing as to which domains are required for the interaction. Opinions range from several extracellular domains (Holm et al., 1995) to one immunoglobulin-like domain (Ig 2, Zhao et al., 1998).
Several proteins are known as ligands in heterophilic binding to the extracellular domains. Most interactions have been studied with regard to their effects on neurite outgrowth. Among these proteins are neurocan (component of the extracellular matrix, Friedlander et al., 1994), CD 24 (Kadmon et al., 1995; Kleene et al., 2001), NCAM (Horstkorte et al., 1993; Heiland et al., 1998), and FGF and EGF receptor kinases (Doherty and Walsh, 1996; Islam et al., 2004). L1 homophilic binding in trans seems to induce activation of the PLC$\gamma$ signalling cascade via interaction with the FGF receptor in cis resulting in axonal growth. Via NCAM the tyrosine and serine phosphorylation state of L1 is changed and effects neurite outgrowth. The interaction of L1 with integrins is believed to promote migration of developing neurons for which two models have been proposed. Trans interaction of soluble L1, generated by cleavage through ADAM metalloproteases, with integrin suggests autocrine binding as basis (Silletti et al., 2000; Mechtersheimer et al., 2001). Alternatively, L1 endocytosis with subsequent MAPK activation results in integrin dependent migration (Thelen et al., 2002). The role of L1 in guidance of axons is implicated in the finding that L1 binds to neuropilin-1 as part of the Sema3A receptor complex and mediates the response to Sema3A via internalisation of the complex (Castellani et al., 2000, 2002, and 2004).

The high conservation of the intracellular domain implying an important role of this region has already been mentioned. All members of the L1 subfamily share an amino acid sequence that has high affinity for ankyrin, a linker protein of the spectrin based cytoskeleton that underlies the plasma membrane (Davis and Bennett, 1994). Binding to ankyrin is regulated by phosphorylation of the highly conserved tyrosine residue within the cytoplasmic motif FIGQY (Tuvia et al., 1997; Garver et al., 1997; Needham et al., 2001). Members of the L1 subfamily are clustered into functional microdomains through this interaction (Bennett and Chen, 2001). The tyrosine based sorting motif YRSLE in the neuronal isoform of L1 is required for binding to AP-2, the adaptor complex of clathrin mediated endocytosis machinery. The association appears to be regulated by phosphorylation of L1 at this site (Schäfer et al., 2002). Local regulation of L1 expression is important for growth cone motility, one of the processes requiring a dynamic regulation of adhesion (Kamiguchi and Lemmon, 2000). L1 also binds to ezrin, another linker protein of the membrane cytoskeleton, at a site overlapping that for AP-2 binding (Dickson et al., 2002). This interaction seems to occur predominantly during migration and axon growth suggesting functional importance in early stages of development (Mintz et al., 2003). Recently studies revealed the interaction of L1 with ezrin at a novel binding site was necessary for neurite branching (Cheng et al., 2005).
L1 expression is not only regulated during development but underlies complex modulation by glucocorticoids in the adult as discovered in stress and learning paradigms (Venero et al., 2004; Merino et al., 2000; Sandi et al., 2001). Especially learning processes and adaptation to influences of the environment (stress) entails structural rearrangements that can be realised by L1 action.

The integration of several pathways and machineries demonstrates that L1 possesses truly more than just adhesive property.

### 4.4 Mutations as the cause for severe neurological disorders

The human gene encoding L1 has been located near the long arm of the X-chromosome (Djabali et al., 1990) in Xq28 (Chapman et al., 1990). Since different X-linked recessive mental retardation syndromes have already been located to Xq28 and the morphological abnormalities of these syndromes might result from deficits in cell migration, axonal pathfinding and fasciculation, L1 was a likely candidate gene causing these syndromes. HSAS syndrome (hydrocephalus due to stenosis of the aqueduct of Sylvius, Bickers and Adams 1949) was first attributed to mutations in the L1 gene (Rosenthal et al., 1992). Subsequently, L1 mutations were found in patients with MASA syndrome (mental retardation, aphasia, shuffling gait and adducted thumbs, Bianchine and Lewis, 1974), X-linked complicated SP-1 (spastic paraplegia, Kenwrick et al., 1986) or ACC (agenesis of the corpus callosum, Kaplan, 1983) (Jouet et al., 1994; Fransen et al., 1995). All these congenital neurological disorders represent overlapping clinical spectra of the same disease, and are therefore now summarised under the term “L1 spectrum” (Moya et al., 2002). This term might be more widely acceptable than the previously proposed term CRASH (corpus callosum agenesis, retardation, adducted thumbs, shuffling gait, and hydrocephalus, Fransen et al., 1995). At present there is no therapy for the prevention or cure of the patients.

L1 mutations account for 5% of all cases with hydrocephalus and are the most frequent genetic cause of this pathology. The incidence of pathological L1 mutations is generally estimated to be around 1 in 30,000 male births (Schrander-Stumpel and Fryns, 1998). In general, the patients show a broad spectrum of clinical and neurological abnormalities, already reflected by the varying nomenclature. The severity of the disease varies significantly between patients with different L1 mutations and might also vary between patients carrying the same mutation (Serville et al., 1992). The most consistent features of affected patients are varying degrees of lower limb spasticity, mental retardation, enlarged ventricles or hydrocephalus, and flexion deformities of the thumbs. Those that develop
hydrocephalus \textit{in utero} or soon after birth have a low life expectancy and many of them die neonatally. Another striking morphological abnormality is a hypoplasia of the corticospinal tract (CST) and the corpus callosum. The CST is important for voluntary motor functions and its impaired development is believed to cause spasticity of the affected patients. The corpus callosum connects the cerebral hemispheres and pathological alterations of this large commissure might contribute to mental retardation. More brain malformations have been observed, including hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis (Wong et al., 1995b; Fransen et al., 1996; Kenwrick et al., 2000).

Up to date about 140 different pathogenic mutations have been identified in virtually all regions of the gene. All types of mutations were found in human patients including missense, nonsense, and frame shift mutations, deletions, duplication, insertion, and splice site mutations. Despite the wide range of symptoms, a certain correlation between the severity of the disease and the type and location of the mutation has been demonstrated (Bateman et al., 1996; Fransen et al., 1998b). Mutations that truncate the protein in the extracellular domain are expected to abolish cell surface expression resulting in a “loss of function” of L1 mediated interactions. Such truncations generally produce the most severe phenotypes (Yamasaki et al., 1997). Most frequent are missense mutations within the extracellular domain (35 \%). In many cases they produce a severe phenotype. Those mutations occurring in key residues might interfere with homophilic or heterophilic interactions of L1 or with targeting of the protein to the cell surface (De Angelis et al., 1999 and 2002; Moulding et al., 2000). In contrast, any mutation within the cytoplasmic domain causes a moderate phenotype. These mutations are expected to interfere with intracellular signalling and interactions with the cytoskeleton, but are unlikely to disrupt L1 mediated adhesion deduced from studies with a deletion of large portions of the intracellular domain of L1 (Wong et al., 1995a).

4.5 The L1 deficient mouse as model for CRASH

Based on the knowledge that some of the L1 mutations cause disruption of cell surface expression of the protein leading to the neurological disorder in humans, two L1 knock out (KO) mouse lines were generated to test as an animal model for the human disease. They were generated independently in different laboratories by targeted disruption of the L1 gene and have been thoroughly analysed by several scientists by now (Dahme et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 1998). A third KO mouse line resembling the existing two has been generated later and was used in this study (Rolf et al., 2001). The various L1 mutants share many of the pathological features observed in human patients independent of their origin. The availability
of a mouse model for the human disease opened the possibility to further investigate the disorder and simultaneously gain deeper insight into the functional role and mechanism of L1.

The general appearance of the mutants displayed several characteristics. They were smaller than their wildtype littermates. They were also mostly infertile and less viable. Their eyes were sunken and lacrimous. The observed weakness in hind limbs could be the impairment corresponding to the spasticity in human patients.

The most prominent feature was the enlargement of ventricles in varying degrees (characteristic of the human pathology as well) dependent on the genetic background the mice were bred on (Dahme et al., 1997; Rolf et al., 2001; Demyanenko et al., 1999). Mice of the C57BL/6J background were more disposed to develop a severe hydrocephalus, while mice of the 129Sv background only showed slightly dilated ventricles. Although it is considered to be a specific consequence of L1 deficiency the precise mechanism is unknown up to now. Impaired cell migration or outgrowth and/or pathfinding of axons and subsequent death of those neurons which fail to find their right position or to innervate their appropriate targets are discussed.

The gross cytoarchitecture of the brain regions was undisturbed. This was rather surprising considering the importance of L1 in processes during development including cell migration. Yet abnormalities in major axonal paths and the detailed analysis of cell morphology elicited this role of L1. Axons of the corticospinal tract failed to cross the midline at the point of decussation and did not reach their target which resulted in a reduced size of the tract probably due to cell death (Dahme et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 1998). This defect also occurring in human patients is believed to produce the spasticity perhaps corresponding to the weakness in hind limbs of the mutant mice. Comparable dysgenesis was observed for the corpus callosum reminiscent of the histological reports of human patients (Demyanenko et al., 1999). This could explain the mental retardation in humans but in mice no definite effect could be assigned so far. Other axonal tracts appeared normal suggesting compensation in guidance by alternative molecules available at the particular time and region in response to the lack of L1. The involvement of the semaphorin pathway in L1 dependent axonal guidance was initially revealed by studies on L1 deficient neurons in co-cultures where their outgrowing processes were not repelled by Sema3A in contrast to wildtype cells (Castellani et al., 2000). Axons of the retinocollicular projection failed to arborize at normal anterior target sites in KO mice (Demyanenko et al., 2003). Regarding dopaminergic neurons alterations in location and cell morphology were discovered (Demyanenko et al., 2001). Similar abnormalities in dendrite morphology and displacement were observed in the hippocampus
and in the cerebral cortices (Demyanenko et al., 1999). Undulation and less and shorter branching of apical dendrites not reaching their destination in the correct layer and the reduced number of pyramidal cells in KO mice pointed to L1 as important factor in guidance, survival, and migration of cells and their processes during development. Hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis in KO mice was attributed to the lack of L1 as regulatory element in cell migration (Fransen et al., 1998a) and represents another feature paralleled in humans. Consequences have not yet been determined.

In the peripheral nervous system mutant mice showed morphological abnormalities of unmyelinated fibers due to loss of heterophilic binding by axonal L1 (Dahme et al., 1997, Haney et al., 1999). Schwann cells were not able to maintain axonal ensheathment of sensory unmyelinated axons and axonal degeneration of unmyelinated axons.

In vitro assays revealed impairment in neurite outgrowth of cerebellar neurons taken from KO mice grown on L1 as substrate indicating the prerequisite of L1-L1 interaction for the extension of cell processes (Dahme et al., 1997; Fransen et al., 1998a) and explaining morphological changes observed in KO brain. Electrophysiological studies did not show alterations in long-term potentiation (Bliss et al., 2000), but in GABAergic transmission in inhibitory hippocampal neurons (Saghatelyan et al., 2004).

So far only little data has been published on the behaviour of KO mice. They possessed decreased nociceptive heat sensitivity in a thermal stimulation paradigm (Thelin et al., 2003). This hypoalgesia is explained with disturbance in central signal processing through NMDA receptor with which L1 is known to interact (Husi et al., 2000). Reduced sensory function in the von Frey pressure test measuring skin sensitivity to applied pressure is probably due to axonal degeneration of the unmyelinated axons in the peripheral nervous system described above (Haney et al., 1999). KO mice have been observed doing stereotype peripheral circling in the open field test and being hypoactive, but they displayed no motor impairment in the rotarod and no impairment in long-term memory in the passive avoidance task (Fransen et al., 1998a). Possible spatial learning defects were concluded from impaired performance in the Morris Water Maze (Fransen et al., 1998a). But the authors suggested that the poor swimming abilities of KO mice may have affected the general performance in the water maze. In addition, electrophysiological studies did not show a change in long-term potentiation considered as marker for hippocampal spatial dependent learning (Bliss et al., 2000). Only recently it has been discovered that L1 deficient mice show reduced response in the prepulse inhibition paradigm (Irintchev et al., 2004). This sensory gating defect is found in humans with psychiatric disorders as well.
4.6 New mouse lines investigating L1 function

Another mouse has been created where L1 is present during development but ablated in the forebrain and the hippocampus from postnatal day 21 onwards to dissect L1 function in the adult separated from its role in development (Law et al., 2003). This mouse displayed decreased anxiety in the classical paradigms open field and elevated-plus maze. In addition, they showed altered learning behaviour in the Morris Water maze. Electrophysiological studies revealed an increase in basal excitatory synaptic transmission not apparent in constitutive KO mice in contrast to undisturbed long-term potentiation.

To assess which of the L1 domains are responsible for specific effects, a mouse line with deletion of the sixth immunoglobulin-like domain was generated (Itoh et al., 2004). Although L1 expression was preserved, in vitro experiments showed that homophilic L1-L1 binding and heterophilic L1-integrin binding was lost. Semaphorin communication was intact. This finding could explain why many of the axon guidance defects of KO mice were not observed. However, mice of the C57BL/6J background did develop hydrocephalus suggesting homophilic binding essential here.

5 Aim of this study

Most studies on L1 have concentrated on its role during development. But L1 expression is continued throughout life and hence must contribute to maintain the functioning of the mature nervous system. The generation of a mouse line expressing transgenic L1 on neurons with postnatal start of expression and subsequent crossing into the L1 deficient mouse line aimed to find an answer using a rescue model. At the same time the aim was to confirm defects known for the KO mouse as specific effects for the loss of L1. The different genotypes were compared regarding morphology and in vitro functionality where KO mice are known to display defects. Additionally, all genotypes were characterised regarding their behavioural phenotype, which delivered new data for the KO mouse.
II MATERIAL

1 Chemicals and laboratory equipment

All chemicals were purchased from the following companies in p.a. quality: GibcoBRL (Life Technologies, Karlsruhe, Germany), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Serva (Heidelberg, Germany), Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany), and Carl Roth GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany). General laboratory material and equipment were provided by Eppendorf (Hamburg, Germany), Nunc (Roskilde, Denmark), and Becton Dickinson Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany). Cell culture material was ordered from Nunc (Roskilde, Denmark), Life Technologies and PAA Laboratories GmbH (Cölbe, Germany). Centrifuges were chosen appropriate for the sample volumes: Eppendorf table centrifuges (Hamburg, Germany) 5415D and 5417R for volumes < 2 ml, 5403 for volumes < 50 ml, Sorvall Ultracentrifuge RC 5C Plus (Langenselbold, Germany) for volumes > 50 ml. Specific material (e.g. DNA purification kits) and instruments (i.e. microscopes) are specified when mentioned in the chapter “methods” below.

2 Solutions / buffers / media

Bi-distilled water was used for preparation unless indicated otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution / Buffer</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agar-PBS</td>
<td>6 % agar in PBS brought to a boil, stirred constantly until lukewarm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vibratome sections)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarose-TAE</td>
<td>0.7 -2 % agarose in TAE brought to a boil, stored at 60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DNA gels)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody dilution buffer</td>
<td>0.1 % BSA in PBS for fixed tissue addition of 0.3 % Triton X-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(immunohistochemistry)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody dilution buffer</td>
<td>3 % BSA in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(immunocytochemistry)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody dilution buffer</td>
<td>3 % milk powder in TBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(western blot)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking buffer</td>
<td>3 % BSA in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(immunocyto-/histochemistry)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking buffer</td>
<td>3 % milk powder in TBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(western blot)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Description and Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston digestion buffer</td>
<td>50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8&lt;br&gt;50 mM KCl&lt;br&gt;2.5 mM EDTA&lt;br&gt;0.45 % Nonidet-P40&lt;br&gt;0.45 % Tween 20&lt;br&gt;0.1 mg/ml Proteinase K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate buffer, 5fold</td>
<td>375mM Na-citrate&lt;br&gt;Adjust to pH 5.5 prior to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating solution hL1-Fc</td>
<td>10 µg/ml human L1-Fc in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating solution laminin</td>
<td>2 µg/ml laminin in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating solution PLL</td>
<td>0.01 % poly-L-lysine in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestion solution, sterile filtered</td>
<td>HBSS (GibcoBRL), pH 7.8, supplemented with:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissection solution, sterile filtered</td>
<td>0.01 g/ml trypsin&lt;br&gt;2 mg/ml DNase I&lt;br&gt;80 mM MgCl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA sample buffer, 5fold</td>
<td>20 % glycerol&lt;br&gt;0.025 % Orange G&lt;br&gt;In TAE buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP stock solution</td>
<td>20 mM each dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixing solution</td>
<td>4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS, pH 7.4&lt;br&gt;2 % paraformaldehyde in PBS for postfixation&lt;br&gt;Heated to 65°C, stirred constantly until cooled to RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixing solution, 10fold</td>
<td>25 % glutaraldehyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Description</td>
<td>Composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco buffer, 10fold (PCR)</td>
<td>200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mM KCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 mM MgSO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/ml BSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 % Triton X-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB-medium, autoclaved (E.coli cultures)</td>
<td>10 g/l bacto-tryptone, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB-amp medium (E.coli cultures)</td>
<td>100 mg/l ampicillin in LB-medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB-amp plates (E.coli cultures)</td>
<td>20 g/l agar in LB-medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligation buffer, 10fold (DNA ligation)</td>
<td>200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mM MgCl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mM DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 mM ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysis buffer II, 5fold (brain homogenisation, cell lysis)</td>
<td>100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750 mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM EGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 % Nonidet-P40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPS buffer, 2fold (DNA electroelution)</td>
<td>100 mM MOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 M NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>adjust to pH 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-OH-DPAT solution (hypothermia induction)</td>
<td>(±)-8-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino)tetralin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>150 mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 mM Na₃PO₄, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeabilisation solution (immuncyto-/histochemistry)</td>
<td>0.25 % Triton X-100 in PBS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All solutions are sterile unless otherwise specified.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>II MATERIAL</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protease inhibitor mix, 25fold</td>
<td>1 tablet in 2 ml PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Complete, Roche)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS running buffer, 10fold</td>
<td>250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SDS-PAGE)</td>
<td>1.92 M glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 M SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS sample buffer, 5fold</td>
<td>62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SDS-PAGE)</td>
<td>50 % glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.5 % SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 % 2-mercapto-ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 % bromphenol blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separating gel 8 %</td>
<td>375 mM Tris, pH 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SDS-PAGE)</td>
<td>0.1 % SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 % acrylamide -bis 29:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 % APS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 % TEMED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacking gel 5 %</td>
<td>120 mM Tris, pH 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SDS-PAGE)</td>
<td>7.5 % SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 % acrylamide -bis 29:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 % APS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 % TEMED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staining solution</td>
<td>1 % toluidine blue O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(cerebellar neuron cultures)</td>
<td>1 % methylene blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 % Na-tetraborate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stirred overnight, filtered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staining solution</td>
<td>0.5 µg/ml ethidiumbromide in TAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DNA gels)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAE, 50fold</td>
<td>2 M Tris-acetate, pH 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DNA gels)</td>
<td>100 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFB I</td>
<td>100 mM RbCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(competent <em>E.coli</em>)</td>
<td>50 mM MnCl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 mM K-acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM CaCl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15 % glycerol
adjust to pH 5.8

TFB II
10 mM MOPS
(competent *E.coli*)
10 mM RbCl
75 mM CaCl₂
15 % glycerol
adjust to pH 8

TBS
10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8
150 mM NaCl

TBS-T
0.1 % Tween 20 in TBS

TE, 10fold
100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5
10 mM EDTA

Transfer buffer
25 mM Tris
(western blot)
192 mM glycine
0.001 % SDS
10 % methanol

X-1 medium, sterile filtered
BME medium (GibcoBRL) supplemented with:
(cerebellar neuron cultures)
50 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin
1 % BSA
10 µg/ml insulin
4 nM L-thyroxin
100 µg/ml transferrin, holo
0.027 TIU/ml aprotinin
30 nM Na-selenite
1 mM Na-pyruvate
4 mM L-glutamine

3 Molecular weight standards

1 kb DNA Ladder (Life Technologies, GibcoBRL, Karlsruhe, Germany)
12 bands from 1018 to 12216 bp, additionally fragments from 75 to 1636 bp

100 bp DNA Ladder (Life Technologies)
15 bands from 100 to 1500 bp in 100 bp steps, additionally 2072 fragment
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Smart Ladder (Eurogentec, Liège, Belgium)
14 bands from 200 to 10000 bp
5 µl/lane gives the amount (ng) as 1/100 of the bp size of each band.

BenchMark™ Prestained Protein Ladder (Life Technologies)
10 bands from 8.4 kD to 182.9 kD

4 Plasmids
pBluescriptIIKS (+/-) phagemid (Stratagene, La Jolla, USA) 3kb, Amp\(^r\)
L1 cDNA \(\text{EcoR} \ I\) has been inserted (produced in the lab of Prof. Schachner) and was the source for the genetic sequence of L1.
pSP72 vector (Promega, Mannheim, Germany), 2.5kb, Amp\(^r\)
The vector was used for an intermediate cloning step.
pTSC21k (gift of Dr. H. van der Putten, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland), 9kb, Amp\(^r\)
This vector contained a modified Thy-1.2 cassette and was the final targeting vector for the L1 cDNA.
Maps are listed in the appendix.

5 Oligonucleotides
Primers were used for sequencing of L1 cDNA (L1 X1; DeIa2; DeIIa; DeIIa; DelVa; Apa1; Apa2; Delb1), sequencing of L1 cDNA and checking orientation of L1 cDNA in the pTSC21k vector (L1 3’down; L1 5’ up), and genotyping with “T” PCR (L1-292; L1-709; L1-C; L1-D) or “KO” PCR (L1 arm2; tTAup3; L1-5’up2). They were designed appropriate for the application (PCR or DNA sequencing) according to the general rules. All oligonucleotides were ordered at Metabion (Munich, Germany). Sequences are listed in the appendix.

6 Antibodies
6.1 Primary antibodies
All antibodies were directed against mouse proteins.

- anti-calbindin: monoclonal, mouse (Sigma-Aldrich, Deisenhofen, Germany)
  dilution 1000fold
- anti-GAPDH: monoclonal, mouse (Chemicon, Temecula, USA)
  dilution 2000fold
- anti-L1 (1): polyclonal, rabbit (Dr. F. Plöger, ZMNH)
  dilution 8000fold for western blots
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anti-L1 (2) polyclonal, rabbit (Faissner et al., 1985) dilution 500fold immunostaining

anti-L1 “555” monoclonal, rat (Appel et al., 1995) dilution 100fold immunostaining, 20000fold western blots

anti-MAP2 polyclonal, rabbit (Sigma-Aldrich) dilution 200fold

anti-neurofilament polyclonal, rabbit (Abcam Ltd., Cambridge, UK) dilution 4000fold

anti-parvalbumin monoclonal, mouse (Sigma-Aldrich) dilution 1000fold

anti-synaptophysin polyclonal, rabbit (Acris, Hiddenhausen, Germany) dilution 200fold

anti-tyrosinehydroxylase polyclonal, rabbit (Chemicon) dilution 500fold

6.2 Secondary antibodies

All secondary antibodies directed against Ig of the species of the primary antibody were purchased at Dianova (Hamburg, Germany). Antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) were diluted 10000fold for western blot analysis. Cyanine2 (Cy2) and Cyanine3 (Cy3) coupled antibodies were diluted 100fold for indirect immunofluorescence staining.

7 Bacterial strain

Escherichia coli DH5α (Clontech, Heidelberg, Germany): deoR, endA1, gyrA96, hsdR17(rK mK+), recA1, relA1, supE44, thi-1, Δ(lacZYA-argFV169) 80lacZΔM15, F−. Bacteria were made competent for transformation with plasmid DNA or ligation mixtures.

8 Mouse strains

Foster mothers and oocytes retrieved for pronuclear injection were of the 129Ola background. First offspring were backcrossed into the C57BL/6J background. Following generations were crossed with the KO strain (Rolf et al., 2001) into the C57BL/6J background and into the 129Svj background. All WT mice of the various genetic backgrounds were originally from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, USA.
III METHODS

1 Molecular biology

1.1 Production of chemically competent bacteria

(Inoue et al., 1990)

*E. coli* DH5α bacteria were streaked on LB-plates and incubated at 37°C overnight. Single colonies were picked and inoculated in 10 ml LB-medium at 37°C overnight. 1 ml of this overnight culture was diluted 100 fold with LB-medium and shaken at 37°C until the optical density of $\text{OD}_{600} = 0.5$ (Spectrometer Ultraspec 3000, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Freiburg, Germany) was reached (after 90-120 min). The culture was cooled on ice and centrifuged (4000xg, 4°C, 5 min). The supernatant was discarded and the cells were resuspended in 30 ml ice cooled TFB I buffer. The suspension was kept on ice for 90 min. After centrifugation (4000xg, 4°C, 5 min) the supernatant was discarded again and the cell pellet resuspended in 4 ml ice cold TFB II buffer. The competent bacteria were frozen in aliquots in dry ice/ethanol mixture and stored at -80°C.

1.2 Transformation of competent bacteria

(Sambrook et al., 1989)

To transform bacteria 100 µl of competent bacteria were incubated with 10-100 ng plasmid DNA or a ligation mixture on ice for 30 min. After heat shock at 42°C for 2 min and successive incubation on ice for 5 min, the bacteria were shaken with 1 ml LB-medium at 37°C for 60 min. The cells were streaked out on LB-plates supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic and cultivated at 37°C overnight.

1.3 Plasmid DNA isolation of *E. coli* bacterial cultures

(Sambrook et al., 1989)

**Small scale** (GFX Micro Plasmid Prep Kit, Macherey und Nagel, Düren, Germany)

An overnight culture of transformed bacteria was centrifuged (15800xg, RT, 1 min). Plasmid DNA was isolated from the bacterial cell pellet according to the manufacturer’s protocol (lysis, precipitation of cell debris, plasmid DNA binding to column, washing). DNA was eluted with 50 µl 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 (50°C) by centrifugation (15800xg, RT, 2 min).
**Large scale** (Plasmid Maxi Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany)

3 ml of a starter culture of transformed bacteria were inoculated with 300 ml LB-medium with the appropriate antibiotic and shaken (220 rpm) at 37°C overnight. Cells were pelleted (6000xg, 4°C, 15 min) and the plasmid DNA was isolated as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. The procedure resembled that of the small scale plasmid isolation, but in addition DNA was precipitated with ethanol. Finally, the DNA pellet was dissolved in 600 µl 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 (~50°C).

**1.4 Enzymatic modification of plasmid DNA**

(Sambrook et al., 1989)

**Restriction of plasmid DNA**

DNA was incubated with twice the recommended amount of restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany and MBI Fermentas, St. Leon Rot, Germany) in the recommended buffer at the appropriate temperature for 2 h. Restriction was terminated by addition of DNA sample buffer and checked by agarose gel electrophoresis. The restriction product was either used directly or purified by the Concert Rapid PCR Purification System or eluted from an agarose gel after electrophoretic separation.

**Ligation of DNA fragments**

DNA fragments were ligated by mixing 50 ng vector DNA with the 5fold molar excess of insert DNA and 1 U of T4 Ligase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) in ligation buffer. The reaction mix was incubated either at RT for 2 h or at 16°C overnight. The mixture was used directly for transformation without any further purification.

**1.5 Purification of PCR products**

(Concert Rapid PCR Purification System, GibcoBRL, Karlsruhe, Germany)

The product of a restriction reaction was purified with this kit directly following the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA was eluted from the column with 50 µl 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 (65°C) by centrifugation (15800xg, RT, 2 min).

**1.6 DNA gel electrophoresis**

(Sambrook et al., 1989)

DNA fragments were separated in agarose gels using horizontal electrophoresis chambers (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany). Gels were prepared with 0.7-2 % agarose depending
on the size of DNA fragments and submerged with TAE buffer in the electrophoresis chamber. Samples mixed with loading buffer were applied next to a molecular weight marker and the gel was run at constant voltage (10V/cm gel length) until the orange G dye had reached the end of the gel. Afterwards, the gel was stained in an ethidiumbromide solution for 20 min and documented using the E.A.S.Y. UV-light documentation system (Herolab, Wiesloh, Germany).

1.7 Extraction and purification of DNA fragments from agarose gels

1.7.1 Column purification as preparation for cloning

(Concert Gel Extraction System, GibcoBRL)

Ethidiumbromide stained gels were illuminated with UV light and the appropriate DNA band was excised from the gel and transferred into an Eppendorf tube. The fragment was isolated following the manufacturer’s protocol. The fragment was eluted from the column with 50 µl 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 (70°C) by centrifugation (15800xg, RT, 2 min).

1.7.2 Electroelution as preparation for pronuclear injection

(Plasmid Maxi Kit, Qiagen)

After electrophoretic separation of the DNA fragments, the agarose gel was briefly stained in an ethidiumbromide solution and the appropriate band excised under UV light illumination. The segment was transferred into a dialysis bag with TAE running buffer to be subjected to electrophoresis as described above for DNA agarose gels. When the DNA was completely eluted (check under UV light) into the buffer, the solution in the dialysis bag was transferred into a falcon tube and the pH adjusted by dilution with MOPS buffer, pH 7. This mixture was applied to the column and the DNA was eluted according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Then DNA was precipitated with ethanol and dissolved in aqua ad injectabila.

1.8 Determination of DNA purity and concentration

DNA concentrations were determined with the spectrometer Ultraspec 3000 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The absorbance at 260 nm, 280 nm, and 320 nm was measured. Absorbance at 260 nm had to be higher than 0.1 but less than 0.6 for reliable determinations. A ratio of A260/A280 between 1.8 and 2 indicated sufficient purity of the DNA preparation.
DNA prepared for pronuclear injection was diluted to a concentration of 100 ng/µl. Purity was additionally checked by agarose gel electrophoresis as described above. The DNA amount was calculated by correlation to the Smart Ladder.

1.9 DNA sequencing

DNA sequencing was performed by the sequencing facility of the ZMNH using Step-by-Step protocols for DNA-sequencing with Sequenase-Version 2.0, 5th ed., USB, 1990. For the preparation, 1 µg of DNA dissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 and 10 pmol of the appropriate sequencing primer were diluted with bi-distilled water to a final volume of 8 µl.

1.10 Computer assisted sequence analysis

Sequence analyses and comparisons were performed with the Lasergene programme DNASTAR. The database “BLASTN” of the NCBI (National Centre for Biotechnology Information) served as reference.

1.11 Pronuclear injection

Pronuclear injection was performed by the transgenic animal facility of the ZMNH. The linearised DNA was injected into the nucleus of fertilised oocytes and these implanted into pseudo pregnant female mice (Hogan et al., 1994). Offspring were then tested for the insertion of the transgene into their genome by PCR of DNA extracted from tailcut biopsies.

2 Protein biochemistry

2.1 Brain homogenisation

Mice of the appropriate ages were decapitated. Young mice (postnatal day 6 to 21) were narcotised in halothane saturated atmosphere, adult mice were killed by CO₂ exposure before decapitation. Brains were removed from skulls and immediately homogenised with the 2-fold volume of lysis buffer II supplemented with protease inhibitors in a Dounce homogenizer (Weaton, Teflon pestle, 0.1 µm). The suspension was centrifuged (20000xg, 4°C, 45 min) and the supernatant frozen at -20°C for 60 min. The sample was thawed on ice and centrifuged again under the same conditions. The supernatant was separated from the pellet for further use.
2.2 **Lysis of cerebellar granule cells**

Granular cells of cerebella were dissociated as described below. The cell pellet was suspended in lysis buffer II supplemented with complete and incubated on ice for 30 min. The suspension was centrifuged (1000xg, 4°C, 15 min). The total protein content of the supernatant was determined using the BCA kit as described below to prepare samples for SDS-PAGE.

2.3 **Determination of protein concentration with the BCA assay**

(Ausubel, 1996)

(BCA kit, Pierce, Rockford, USA)

Solution A and B were mixed in a ratio of 1/50 to give the reaction solution. 10 µl of sample was applied to 200 µl of the solution in microtitre plates and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. BSA standards ranging from 125 µg/ml to 1.5 mg/ml were simultaneously incubated with the solution. The extinction was determined at 562 nm in the microtitre plate by an ELISA reader (Micronaut Skan, Merlin, Bornheim-Hersel, Germany). The protein content of the samples was calculated by correlation to the BSA standards.

2.4 **Digestion of brain homogenate with the enzyme endoglycosidase H**

Brain homogenate was adjusted to 40 µg total protein content in a small volume of SDS sample buffer with PBS. After heating to 95°C for 5 min, protease inhibitors and 10 U of the enzyme endoglycosidase H (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) in 75 mM Na-citrate buffer, pH 5.5, were added. The samples were incubated at 37°C overnight and prepared for SDS-PAGE the next day.

2.5 **Sodiumdodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)**

(Laemmli, 1970)

Proteins were separated by discontinuous sodiumdodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using the Mini-Protean III system (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany). 1mm thick gels were prepared composed of a separating gel with 8 % acrylamide and a narrow stacking gel with 5 % acrylamide. After complete polymerisation of the gel, the chamber was assembled as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples (mixed with SDS sample buffer and boiled for 2 min) were loaded next to the BenchMark™ Prestained Protein Ladder and the gel was run in SDS running buffer at constant voltage of 80 V until the
samples had entered the stacking gel (~ 10 min). Then voltage was raised to 150 V until the bromphenol blue line had reached the end of the gel. Gels were then subjected to western blotting.

2.6 Western blot analysis

2.6.1 Electrophoretic transfer of proteins to nitrocellulose membrane (western blot)

(Towbin et al., 1979)

Proteins previously separated by SDS-PAGE were transferred from the gel onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Protran Nitrocellulose, Schleicher & Schüll, Dassel, Germany) using a MINI TRANSBLOT-apparatus (Bio-Rad). After equilibration of the gel in transfer buffer for 5 min, the blotting sandwich was assembled as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. Proteins were electrophoretically transferred in transfer buffer at constant voltage (85 V, 4°C, 120 min). The BenchMark™ Prestained Protein Ladder served as molecular weight marker and as a control for the efficiency of the transfer.

2.6.2 Immunological detection of proteins on nitrocellulose membrane with enhanced chemiluminescence

(Ausubel, 1996)

After electrophoretic transfer, the membranes were removed from the sandwich and washed once in TBS before incubation with blocking buffer at RT for 1 h. Then the primary antibody was applied either at RT for 2 h or at 4°C overnight. The primary antibody was removed and membranes were washed 5x 5 min with TBS-T. The appropriate secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled antibody was applied for 1 h at RT. Membranes were washed again 5x 5 min with TBS-T.

Immunoreactive bands (complexes composed of protein bound to nitrocellulose membrane, primary antibody, and secondary HRP-coupled antibody) were visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (ECL, Pierce, Rockford, USA). The membrane was soaked for 1 min in detection solution (1/1 mixture of solutions I and II). After removal of the solution the membrane was placed between two Saran wrap foils and exposed to X-ray film (BioMax MR, Kodak) in the dark. Signals on the film were developed and fixed with Kodak solutions.

To quantify the signal intensity films were scanned with maximal resolution and analysed with the computer software TINA 5 (open source). Data were evaluated with the
Mann Whitney t-test, two-tailed, and are shown as mean ± S.E.M. (standard error of the mean) and in percent with WT set to 100%.

3 Cell culture of primary neurons

3.1 Preparation and cultivation of dissociated hippocampal neurons

The experiments were performed by Vladimir Sytnyk.

Hippocampal neurons of mice of postnatal day 1-4 were prepared as described (Lochter et al., 1991) and grown on PLL coverslips under sterile conditions. The procedure comprised dissection, enzymatic digestion, mechanical dissociation, and removal of cell debris prior to plating the cells.

Mice were decapitated and brains removed from skull. Brains were cut along the midline; hippocampi were released and split into 1 mm thick pieces. Hippocampi were washed twice with dissection solution and treated with trypsin/DNase I at RT for 5 min. Digestion solution was removed, hippocampi were washed twice with dissection solution. Hippocampi were suspended in dissection solution containing DNase I. Tituration with glass pasteur pipettes having successively smaller diameters dissociated the hippocampi to a homogeneous suspension. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (80xg, 4°C, 15 min). The cell pellet was resuspended in dissection buffer. An aliquot of cells was stained with trypan blue to be counted in a Neubauer cell chamber. Cells were then plated on PLL coated coverslips (method described below) to provide a density of 1000 cells/mm². They were cultivated on PLL coated coverslips under constant CO₂ atmosphere of 5% at 37°C for the required time period.

3.2 Preparation and cultivation of dissociated cerebellar neurons

Cerebellar neurons of mice of postnatal day 7 were prepared and cultivated similar to the protocol of hippocampal neuron cultures under sterile conditions.

Mice were decapitated and the sculls opened. Cerebella were pinched out and split into 3 pieces in HBSS buffer (GibcoBRL). Cerebella were washed with HBSS and then treated with trypsin and DNase I at RT for 15 min. Digestion solution was removed by washing cerebella 3x with ice cooled HBSS. Cerebella were suspended in dissection solution containing DNAse I. Tituration with glass Pasteur pipettes having successively smaller diameters dissociated cerebella to homogeneous suspensions. The suspension was diluted with ice cooled HBSS and centrifuged (100xg, 4°C, 15 min). The cell pellet was resuspended in X-1 medium. After staining an aliquot with trypan blue, cells were counted in a Neubauer
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cell chamber and diluted in X-1 medium to provide a density of $2 \times 10^5$ and $1 \times 10^5$ cells/cover slip. Neurons were cultivated on coverslips coated with the appropriate substrate (method described below) under constant CO$_2$ atmosphere of 5% at 37°C for the required time period.

3.3 Neurite outgrowth assay of dissociated cerebellar neurons

Coverslips were coated with poly-L-lysine (PLL) at 4°C overnight, washed 3x with bi-distilled H$_2$O and dried under sterile conditions. Human L1-Fc (10 µg/ml) or laminin (2 µg/ml, positive control) were coated on these PLL coverslips at 4°C overnight. As a negative control coverslips coated only with poly-L-lysine were used. The solutions were removed and coverslips kept in HBSS until neurons were dissociated as described above. The cells were plated with densities of $2 \times 10^5$ and $1 \times 10^5$ cells/cover slip. Neurons were allowed to grow at 37°C under 5% CO$_2$ for 24 h. Cells were then fixed at RT for 1 h. After removal of the fixing solution by washing with H$_2$O, neurons were stained with toluidine blue at RT for 30 min. Coverslips were washed 2x with H$_2$O and dried at RT before mounting them on glass slides with the quick hardening mounting medium Eukitt (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). Cells were imaged with a Kontron microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) and analysed with Carl Zeiss Vision KS 400 V2.2 software. For each experimental value, neurites of at least 50 cells with neurites longer than the cell body diameter were measured (Lochter et al., 1991). Results were statistically evaluated with a nonparametric one way ANOVA test (Kruskal Wallis test) followed by the Dunn’s multiple comparison post hoc test, two-tailed. Values are presented as mean ± S.E.M.

4 Immunocytochemistry of fixed primary dissociated neuron cultures

Cultured dissociated neurons were fixed at RT for 15 min. The fixation solution was removed by washing with PBS 4x 5 min. The cells were blocked at RT for 15 min before application of the first polyclonal primary antibody (here against L1, rabbit) at RT for 60 min. After washing 4x 5 min with PBS, the secondary antibody (anti-rabbit-Cy3) was applied at RT for 45 min to achieve indirect immunofluorescence. This was followed by washing 4x 5 min in PBS and postfixation at RT for 5 min. Fixing solution was removed by washing 2x 5 min with PBS. Now cells were permeabilised with at RT for 5 min. They were briefly washed with PBS and incubated with blocking solution at RT for 30 min. Now a monoclonal primary antibody against L1 (555, rat) was applied in humid atmosphere at 4°C overnight. Next day coverslips were washed 4x 5 min in PBS and exposed to secondary antibody (anti-rat-Cy2) at
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RT for 60 min. Again cells were washed 4x 5 min and postfixed at RT for 5 min. They were then washed 1x 5 min with PBS and mounted onto glass slides with Aqua-Poly/Mount (Polysciences, Warrington, USA). Coverslips were stored at 4°C in the dark. Neurons were visualised with a confocal laserscanning microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) and the pictures obtained with the compatible digital camera were analysed with the computer software Scion Image (open source, Scion Corp., Frederick, USA). Statistical evaluation was based on the Mann Whitney t-test, two-tailed. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. and as box extending from 25 percentile to 75 percentile with the median as line at 50 percentile and with whiskers marking highest and lowest values.

5 Immunohistochemistry

5.1 Indirect immunofluorescence staining of fresh frozen tissue sections

Mice were sacrificed by exposure to CO₂ and organs (brain, spinal cord, eyes, and sciatic nerve) were removed. The tissue was embedded in Tissue-Tek® O.C.T. compound (Sakura Finetek, Zoeterwonde, Netherlands) and frozen in isopentan cooled in liquid nitrogen. 14 µm thick frontal or sagittal cryosections were prepared at a cryostat (Leica, Bensheim, Germany) and melted onto superfrosted glass slides. They were dried at RT for 60 min before further use. After fixation in methanol at -20°C for 10 min, the tissue was rehydrated with PBS at RT for 10 min. Then slides were submerged with blocking solution and after washing 5x 5 min with PBS incubated with primary antibody at 4°C overnight. Next day the samples were washed as before and incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody coupled to Cy2 or Cy3 at RT for 120 min for indirect immunofluorescence. After another washing step as above the sections were protected with Aqua-Poly/Mount under coverslips and kept at 4°C in the dark. Sections were imaged with an Axiophot fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany), with compatible digital camera and software.

5.2 Indirect immunofluorescence staining of fixed tissue sections

Mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused through the left heart ventricle with the fixing solution. Brains were removed and postfixed in the same fixative at 4°C overnight. Tissue was embedded into agar and 40 µm thick frontal or sagittal slices, cut with a vibratome (Leica, Bensheim, Germany), were collected in PBS. Sections were blocked at RT for 2 h followed by incubation with the required primary antibody at RT 2 h. After washing 3x 10 min at RT in PBS, sections were incubated with the appropriate Cy2 or Cy3 conjugated secondary antibody at RT for 1 h, washed as above and mounted with Aqua-Poly/Mount on
glass slides. Imaging and analysis of tissue by indirect immunofluorescence was performed with an Axiophot fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).

6 Behavioural biology

6.1 Housing conditions

At the age of 6-8 weeks, at least 13 male mice of each genotype (WT; WT_T; KO; KO_T; third generation of backcrossing into the 129Svj background) were transferred from the breeding facility into a vivarium with an inverted 12:12 light:dark cycle (light off at 7:00 am) and maintained under standard housing conditions (21 ± 1°C, 40-50% humidity, food and water ad libitum). They were kept grouped with their original littermates (3-4 mice in macrolon type II long cages 13 x 20 x 23 cm) and were given two weeks to acclimatise to the new environment before testing started. After a series of experiments the mice were isolated and kept single housed in macrolon type II 22 x 16 x 14 cm cages during the remaining testing phase.

6.2 General protocol

All behavioural tests were performed during the dark cycle in a room next to the vivarium that was illuminated with dim red light. Tests were started at least 2 h after light offset and ended 2 h before light onset. Light intensity during the tests was measured with a digital luxmeter (RO-1332, Rotronic Messgeräte GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany). The experimental equipment was cleaned with soap, water, and 70% ethanol after each contact with an animal.

To avoid a “litter effect”, no more than two males/genotype were used from the same litter. Each mouse went through the same temporal order of experiments as follows, with a break of at least two days between experiments: open field, light/dark test, elevated-plus maze, pole test, wire hanging test, rotarod, new cage/new object exploration (isolation, from now on single housed, approximately two months after start of the testing phase, ten days break), open field, elevated-plus maze, free-choice open field, circadian activity, step-through passive avoidance, 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia.

One KO and one KO_T mouse displayed abnormal movement by repeated rotation around their own body. They were excluded from the analysis of the open field, the light/dark field, the new object/new cage exploration, and the elevated-plus maze tests. One KO and two KO_T mice died in the course of the behavioural studies (cause unknown). Table 1 summarises the number of mice/genotype included for evaluation of the data.
Tests analysed with The Observer (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands) were recorded on videotape with a Panasonic infrared digital camera connected to a Panasonic video recorder. To analyse the movement of the mice in the open field and light/dark test, tracks were produced with the software EthoVision (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands).

Measures were taken to minimise pain or discomfort to the animals. Experiments were carried out in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC) regarding the care and use of animals for experimental procedures.

6.3 Spontaneous circadian activity

The spontaneous circadian activity of the single housed mice was monitored by using an infrared sensor connected to a recording and data storing system of the size of a cigarette pocket (Mouse-E-Motion by Infra-e-motion, Henstedt-Ulzburg, Germany, see technical descriptions at http://www.infra-e-motion.de). Each mouse was placed into a standard macrolon type II cage (26 x 21 x 15 cm) two days before monitoring of the circadian activity started. A Mouse-E-Motion was placed 10 cm above the top of each cage so that the mouse could be detected in any position inside the cage. The Mouse-E-Motion sampled every second whether the mouse was moving or not over a period of twelve days. The sensor could detect body movement of the mouse of at least 1.5 cm from one sample point to the successive one. The activity of the mouse is expressed as percentage of samples showing a movement over the total amount of samples for a certain time interval. For example, if, over 5 min, 50 samples showed that the mouse was moving, the mouse was scored as active for 16.7 % over this time (50*100/5min*60s). The data measured by each Mouse-E-Motion were downloaded into a personal computer and processed with Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>WT_T</th>
<th>KO</th>
<th>KO_T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circadian activity</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole test</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire hanging test</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotarod</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open field 1, grouped</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open field 2, isolated</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light/dark test</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated-plus maze 1, grouped</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated-plus maze 2, isolated</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New cage/new object</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-choice open field</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step-through passive avoidance</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-OH-DPAT 0 mg</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-OH-DPAT 0.5 mg</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-OH-DPAT 1 mg</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-OH-DPAT 2 mg</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4 Motor function

6.4.1 Pole test

In the pole test, motor coordination was monitored while mice were climbing down a pole consisting of a rough-surfaced vertical wooden rod (48.5 cm long, 0.8 cm in diameter) illuminated with white light of 10 lux. The mice were placed head upward with all four paws grasping on to the top end of the pole. To motivate the mouse to climb down, nesting material of the mouse’s home cage was placed at the bottom of the pole. The mouse was given a maximum of 80 s to reach the floor. The ability of the mouse to turn 180° and climb down with the head pointing downwards was evaluated. In case the mouse turned, it was documented whether it turned at the top (level 1, above 32 cm), at the middle (level 2, between 32 and 16 cm) or at the bottom of the rod (level 3, below 16 cm). To test motor learning, each mouse had to perform three consecutive trials with an intertrial interval of 30 s during which the mouse was placed back into its home cage.

6.4.2 Wire hanging test

Mice were placed with their forepaws gripping the middle of a 50 cm long horizontal metallic wire (1.5 mm in diameter) that was suspended 30 cm above a foam mattress illuminated with white light of 10 lux. The mice had to perform three trials with an intertrial interval of 45 min (maximum duration of each trial was 10 min). The ability to grip the wire with 1-2 or 3-4 paws was scored.

6.4.3 Rotarod

Mice had to walk on a turning, corrugated rod (3.2 cm in diameter) (Accelerating Rotarod for mice, Jones & Roberts, TSE systems, Bad Homburg, Germany). The rod was started to rotate 5 s after the mice were placed onto it. Mice underwent five trials with an intertrial interval of 45 min. Trials 1 and 2 were performed at slow, constant speed (4 rpm) for a maximum duration of 3 min. Trials 3-5 were performed with the accelerating rod, increasing from 4 rpm to 40 rpm within 4 min, with a maximum duration of 6 min. On the following day, a sixth trial with the accelerating rod was carried out. The performance of the mice was evaluated by scoring the latency to fall down.
6.5 Exploration / anxiety

6.5.1 Open field

The open field consisted of a 50 x 50 cm arena enclosed by 40 cm high walls made of wood laminated with rough, matted light-grey resin illuminated with white light of 50 lux. The mouse was gently placed in an opaque Plexiglas cylinder (Ø 11 x 23 cm) located in one corner of the arena. As the cylinder was lifted after 10 s, the mouse could freely move in the arena for 15 min which was videotaped. Boli were counted at the end of the trial.

The following parameters were calculated based on tracks generated by the software EthoVision: locomotor activity in the form of total distance moved, mean velocity, maximal velocity; thigmotaxis represented by mean distance to the wall; exploration/anxiety displayed in time spent and distance moved in different imaginary zones of the arena (centre = inner square of 20 x 20 cm, border = area along the walls of the arena of 5 cm width), latency to enter the centre, number of entries into the different zones.

The following behavioural parameters were scored with the software The Observer for the first 5 min of the first trial: stretch attend posture (SAP, calculated when the mouse stretched forward and then retracted to the original position without forward locomotion, parameter for risk assessment), rearing off wall (vertical exploration by standing on the hind paws), rearing on wall (rearing with one or two forepaws touching the wall), self grooming (expression of conflict), immobility (expression of anxiety), flat posture (slow forward movement of the mouse for risk assessment).

6.5.2 Light/dark test

The test was performed in the boxes described for the open field test. As a modification from commonly used tests with a box as the dark compartment, a quadrant of 25 x 25 cm in one corner of the open field arena was kept dim at 20 lux. Mice were started in the brightly illuminated part of the box (white light of 200 lux) and left to freely explore the arena for 10 min. Boli were counted at the end of the trial. Tracks were generated by the software EthoVision to calculate the percentage of time spent in the dark quadrant, total distance moved and mean velocity in the bright part of the arena.

6.5.3 Elevated-plus maze

The arena was made of white PVC and had the shape of a plus elevated 75 cm above the ground. Its four arms were 30 cm long and 5 cm wide, connected by a 5 x 5 cm central platform. Two opposing arms were bordered by 15 cm high walls (closed arms), whereas the
other two arms (open arms) were bordered by a 2 mm rim to provide some gripping surface. The test was carried out in the dark and videotaped with an infrared camera. The mouse was gently placed in the centre facing one of the open arms and observed for 5 min, then returned to its home cage. Boli were documented at the end of the test.

Exploratory drive / anxiety of the mouse was deduced from the following parameters analyzed with the software The Observer: latency to enter the open arms and closed arms; latency to reach the edge of the open arms; number of entries into the arms (calculated when all four paws on the arm) and centre (calculated when two paws in the centre); time spent in closed arms, on open arms, and in the centre; SAP, rearing on wall, self grooming, and protected head dips (exploratory head movement over the side of the open arms with the snout pointing downwards with only the two front paws on one of the open arms while the rest of the body remains in one of the closed arms or centre), unprotected head dipping (movement of the head as in protected head dips, but with all four paws on one of the open arms).

6.5.4 New cage/new object exploration

Mice caged together were removed from their home cage and each simultaneously placed separately into a new large cage (macrolon type III 38 x 22 x 15 cm) with fresh bedding and food pellets in one corner. The cage was closed with a grid and illuminated with white light of 10 lux. The mice were video recorded for 5 min and then they were left undisturbed in this cage with free access to water. After 24 h the water bottle was removed for the duration of the new object test. The behaviour in the cage (now familiar to the mouse) was video recorded for 5 min before introducing a new object into the cage. A water bottle (7 x 7 x 10 cm) for rodents with the bottom cut off and with an entrance of 3 x 4 cm on one side served as a new object. It was placed into one side of the cage standing upright with the metallic top fixed between the bars of the cage top and the entrance facing the centre of the cage. Behaviour of the mouse was videotaped for 5 min after the new object had been introduced into the cage.

The following behavioural parameters determining arousal state of the mouse were analysed using the software The Observer for the new cage test: self grooming, rearing (definition as in open field, but no distinction between on and off wall), digging (rapid movement of the front paws in the bedding), climbing (four paws on the grid at the top of the cage), and motionless state. For the new object test additional behavioural parameters concerning novelty seeking were scored: risk assessment (SAP towards the object), time in contact with object, time spent at the wall of the cage opposing the object, rearing on the
object (vertical exploration of the object by standing on the hind paws with one or two front paws on the object) and entries with head/body into the object.

### 6.5.5 Free-choice open field

Mice were single housed for two days in a macrolon type II long cage equipped with a lockable hole (4 cm in diameter) at the bottom of one of the shorter walls. The cage (with the hole closed) was placed next to an arena (75 x 90 cm) dimmly illuminated by 5 lux. The whole arena was surrounded by 45 cm high walls. One short wall contained a gap where the small side of the cage fitted in perfectly allowing direct access of the mouse from its home cage into the arena through the hole. After 5 min the hole was opened and the mouse had 10 min to recognise this exit. As soon as the mouse realised the open door, it was given a maximum of 10 min to enter the arena with four paws, otherwise the test was not pursued further. The ratio of mice leaving the home cage within this time was calculated for each genotype.

### 6.6 Pharmacology: 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia

In this paradigm the body temperature of the mouse was measured after peritoneal injection of the 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor agonist (±)-8-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino)tetralin (8-OH-DPAT). Based on the body weight of the mouse taken 1 h before the start of the test the injection solution was prepared to administer a dose of either 0.5 mg/kg (8-OH-DPAT/body weight) or 1 or 2 mg/kg. As a control only the saline carrier (0.9 % NaCl) was injected. The thermometer CyQ 111 (CyberSense, Nicholasville, USA) was a wire introduced into the anus of the mouse measuring the rectal temperature by voltage. Immediately before the injection the body temperature of the mouse was taken as baseline value. Body temperature was measured again 20 min after injection, then in 15 min intervals for 2 h. The mice were left undisturbed in their home cages between measurements. The difference to the original body temperature was calculated and the maximal decrease within 65 min after injection was determined.

### 6.7 Learning and memory: step-through passive avoidance

A two chamber box equipped with a grid-floor (25 x 22 cm, 0.6 cm between bars, 1.1 mm in diameter) was used. The box was made of white PVC with a sliding door (5 x 5 cm) connecting the two chambers, each 25 x 21 x 30 cm). One was illuminated with white light of 200 lux while the other remained dark (0.5 lux). On experimental day 1 mice were
familiarised with the set-up by placing them in the light chamber with the door closed for 1 min. Then the sliding door was raised. When the mouse encountered the open door for the first time the latency to enter the dark chamber was taken. As soon as the mouse had entered the dark compartment with all four paws the door was closed and a foot shock (1 s, 0.25 mA) was delivered simultaneously. Immediately afterwards the mouse was placed back in its home cage. Retention was tested 24 h later on experimental day 2 by repeating the procedure without delivering a foot shock. Mice were given a maximum of 5 min to enter the dark chamber, otherwise they were returned to their home cages. Latencies were documented.

6.8 Analysis of behavioural parameters

All experiments were done in a double blind manner, i.e. the experimenter was not aware of the genotypes/treatment of the mice during experiments and data analysis.

Tests analysed with the software The Observer (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands) were video recorded. A trained experimenter blind to the genotype of the mice analysed the behaviour of the mice after training until he could repeatedly score at least 85 % of consistency between two analyses performed at different times on the same mice, calculated with the Reliability Test provided by the software The Observer (having 2 s as maximal time discrepancy). Parameters evaluated are listed in the paragraphs of the individual tests above.

For the open field and light/dark test, tracks representing the position of the mice were created and analysed with the software Ethovision (Noldus, Wageningen, Netherlands) with a sampling rate of 5 samples/s. For the analysis of the tracks the minimal distance moved was set to 1.6 cm, except for the parameter “minimal distance to a zone” (open field). This parameter was analysed with a minimal distance moved of 0 cm to calculate the mean distance the mouse kept from the “wall” (defined as a rim of 2 cm at the walls) of the open field. The other parameters analysed are explained in the paragraphs of the individual tests above.

6.9 Statistical analysis of behavioural data

Data were analysed with non parametric statistical tests. Differences between genotypes were tested with the Mann Whitney t-test (WT vs. WT_T mice) or the Kruskal Wallis one way ANOVA test, followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons post hoc test (WT vs. KO vs. KO_T mice), when appropriate. open field and light/dark tests were additionally split into intervals (open field: 3 x 5 min, 5 x 1 min for the first 5 min; light/dark test: 2 x 5 min) and analysed with the ANOVA for repeated measurements followed by the Newman
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Keuls post hoc test. Since these results were always in accordance with the results of the analysis as described initially for the whole duration of the tests, they are not presented. The different trials of the rotarod were also analysed with the ANOVA for repeated measurements followed by the Newman Keuls post hoc test. The three way ANOVA for repeated measures was used for the evaluation of the circadian rhythm testing the different genotypes dissecting effects and interaction of different hours, days and light phases on the different genotypes. To test paired data within a group of mice with the same genotype (1st vs. 2nd latency in the step-through passive avoidance) the Wilcoxon signed rank test was used. Comparison between genotypes of mice in the proportion of mice showing a particular performance (ability to turn in the pole test; all paws used in the wire hanging test; mice leaving the home cage in the free-choice open field) was tested with the Fisher’s exact or the $\chi^2$ probability test. All tests were two-tailed. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. unless specified otherwise.

7 Mice breeding

7.1 Genotyping by PCR, nomenclature

(Mullis et al., 1986)

Tailcut biopsies of mice were taken at postnatal day 21, digested in Boston buffer at 55°C overnight and centrifuged (20000xg, RT, 10 min). The DNA in the supernatant was amplified by Taq polymerase (Life Technologies) based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as specified in table 2 already established in the lab of Prof. Schachner.

T-PCR tested for the presence of the cDNA coding transgenic L1. KO-PCR tested for the deficiency of the wildtype L1 gene. The polymerase chain reaction was performed in MJ Research PTC-200 machines according to the reaction specific temperature profile shown in table 3. The PCR products were analysed directly by DNA agarose gel electrophoresis as described above.

Genotypes were termed wildtype (WT), L1 overexpressing (WT_T), L1 deficient (KO), transgenic (KO_T), and heterozygous without transgene (+/KO) or with transgene (+/KO_T).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>5min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>45s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>1min30s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>1min30s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>go to step 2</td>
<td>29x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>10min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>for ever</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T- PCR mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA template</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-292 primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-709 primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-C primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-D primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gibco buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taq polymerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH2O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.2 Husbandry

Initially, mice carrying the transgene (WT_T) were backcrossed into the C57BL/6J background. After six backcrossings WT_T male mice were bred with heterozygous KO females either of a C57BL/6J or a 129Svj genetic background (both strains were available in the lab of Prof. Schachner). For following generations, heterozygous KO females with transgenic L1 (+/KO_T) of the 129Svj strain were bred with WT 129Svj males. Mice were bred under specific pathogen free conditions, food and water were supplied ad libitum. Offspring were separated from their mother at postnatal day 21 when tailcut biopsies were taken and housed together with their littermates gender separated.

The third generation of backcrossing into the 129Svj background was used for behavioural tests.

7.3 Body weight and viability

Body weight was taken of the male mice used for behavioural analysis with a digital balance with a dish to hold the mouse. Data were collected once a week for 19 weeks at the same hour of day. At the age of 15 weeks, 28 WT, 16 WT_T, 16 KO, and 23 KO_T mice were weighed as basis for statistical evaluation by the Mann Whitney t-test (WT vs. WT_T) and the Kruskal Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons (WT vs. KO vs. KO_T). All tests were two-tailed.

Viability of the four different genotypes of males was estimated by counting mice surviving postnatal day 21 and comparing the ratio with the theoretical distribution of 25 % for each genotype according to Mendelian segregation.
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1 Generation of a mouse line for transgenic L1 expression on neurons

1.1 Generation of the Thy-1.2 expression cassette with L1 cDNA

To generate a mouse expressing transgenic L1 on neurons, a construct with the genetic sequence for L1 under the control of an appropriate promoter had to be designed. The construct meeting those demands included the murine Thy-1.2 cassette as promoter with the insertion of the L1 cDNA (Fig. 3).

The promoter is active not only in neurons but also in other cells (e.g. thymocytes) (Morris, 1985; Gordon et al., 1987). The pTSC21k vector carrying a modified sequence of the Thy-1.2 promoter was at disposal as a gift of Prof. Herman van der Putten (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland; Lüthi et al., 1997; Evans et al., 1984). By removal of a DNA fragment from the Ban I site in exon 2, upstream of the translation start codon, to an Xho I site in exon 4, and inserting an Xho I linker the sequence necessary for activation of transcription in cells outside the nervous system in intron 3 was deleted (Vidal et al., 1990). Although the entire coding sequence including its translation initiation site was lost, part of the 3’ untranslated sequence including the mRNA polyadenylation site was still present. Murine L1 cDNA was available as EcoR I insert in the pBluescriptIIKS (+/-) phagemid in the lab of Prof. Schachner, containing its own start codon “ATG”.

The cloning scheme leading to the targeting sequence is outlined in the flow chart (Fig. 4).
All plasmids carried the resistance gene against ampicillin and were amplified by transformation of competent *E. coli* DH5α grown on LB-amp plates before isolation.

L1 cDNA was set free from its vector by restriction with the enzymes Sma I (blunt end) and Sal I (sticky end) and the corresponding band of 4 kb was eluted from the agarose gel after electrophoresis (Fig. 5A). The vector pSP72 was used for an intermediate cloning step to introduce the restriction site for Xho I at the end of the L1 sequence. The vector was linearised by digestion with the enzymes Pvu II (blunt end) and Sal I (sticky end), purified as well and then ligated with L1 as insert (Fig. 5B). Since Sma I and Pvu II both produce blunt ended DNA, those ends of vector and insert were compatible but their restriction sites were abolished after ligation. Successful insertion was checked by DNA agarose gel electrophoresis after restriction with BamH I (Fig. 5C). The recognition sequence for this enzyme was present twice in the construct. It should produce two bands of the size of 3.8 kb and 2.7 kb due to its location: once in the multiple cloning site following the Sal I site (position 46) and once in the L1 cDNA (position 1277 after the start codon ATG). This was found for three of the clones tested (Fig. 5C, clones 1, 3, 4).
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**Fig. 5 Successful ligation of pSP72 with L1 cDNA.**

A, pBluescriptIKS \ L1 cDNA digested with Sma I and Sal I is shown. The top band corresponds to L1 cDNA at 4 kb, the band below is the remaining vector. B, pSP72 and L1 cDNA are shown linearised. The left lane shows the vector at 2.5 kb, the lane next to it shows L1 cDNA at 4 kb. C, Successful ligation of L1 cDNA with the vector pSP72 should produce bands at 2.7 kb and 3.8 kb after restriction of the plasmid DNA with BamHI. Clones 1, 3, 4 produced the expected size of bands. X is the pure vector as control. 1 kb DNA ladder.

The first positive clone was chosen for further use and now the DNA sequence of L1 was separated from the vector by restriction with Xho I (sticky end) and Sal I (sticky end) (Fig. 6A). The vector pTSC21k was opened by digestion with Xho I. Ligation of the vector and L1 was possible because the DNA terminals produced by Sal I and Xho I are compatible (but abolished after ligation). Both, vector and insert, were purified by elution from an agarose gel after electrophoresis before ligation (Fig. 6B). Clones with the insert, estimated from the size of their plasmid DNA (vector 9 kb + L1 4 kb) in an agarose gel after electrophoresis, were selected for DNA sequencing (Fig. 6C, clones 7 and 8).

**Fig. 6 Successful ligation of pTSC21k with L1 cDNA.**

A, pSP72 \ L1 cDNA, digested with Xho I and Sal I is shown. The band in the middle corresponds to L1 cDNA at 4 kb. The band below is the vector backbone of 2.5 kb, the band above partially digested (linearised) pSP72 \ L1 at 6.5 kb. B, pTSC21k and L1 cDNA are shown linearised. The left lane shows L1 cDNA at 4 kb, the next lane shows pTSC21k at 9 kb. C, Clones 7 and 8 should have pTSC21k with L1 cDNA as insert judging from the size of the plasmid DNA at 13 kb. Lane x shows the pure vector, lane y the insert as control. 1 kb DNA ladder.

By DNA sequencing clones carrying the L1 cDNA could be identified, determining at the same time the orientation of the insert. The results were predicted from the scheme of the targeting sequence: if L1 was inserted in the correct orientation, sequencing from the start of L1 upwards should produce a sequence contained in exon 2 of the Thy-1.2 promoter, while sequencing from the end of L1 downwards should contain that of exon 4. Accordingly backward orientation should give the opposite result. Appropriate primers binding to an L1 sequence close to the start/end of the L1 cDNA were chosen and samples submitted. Sequence results were converted with the software DNAstar for the alignment search with the database BLASTN. Clone 7 matched the correct sequence orientation (Fig. 7). Clone 8 carried the L1 cDNA in the inverted orientation (data not shown).
**Query: L1 5’up (reverse complement sequence)**

**Result 1** mouse Thy-1.2 gene exon 2 and flanking regions

query: 620 cacctgctaccacagtggctgagctgtgactcttgcttnaccacacgagaatccaagactggactgctgctg 686

 exon2: 1 cacctgctaccacagtggctgagctgtgactcttgcttnaccacacgagaatccaagactggactgctgctg 67

**Result 2** mouse L1 cell adhesion molecule, mRNA

query: 731 agctgctgagctgacagcaagatggtcgtgatgctgcggtacgtgtggcctctcctct 790

 L1: 158 agctgctgagctgacagcaagatggtcgtgatgctgcggtacgtgtggcctctcctct 218

**Query: L1 3’down (original sequence)**

**Result 1** mouse L1 cell adhesion molecule, mRNA

query: 33 tgtgaggcagggccaagctgggcccagggccagaggtgcaggagagcccaggggccaagacacctggccaatgtagtg 110

 L1: 3972 tgtgaggcagggccaagctgggcccagggccagaggtgcaggagagcccaggggccaagacacctggccaatgtagtg 4049

query: 111 caccatgccactggcctgctgactttgggatcgaggtccttcctttttccacagcgcatggaagacttgactggagc 188

 L1: 4050 caccatgccactggcctgctgactttgggatcgaggtccttcctttttccacagcgcatggaagacttgactggagc 4127

query: 189 agaggagaggaactgtggcttcgagtctctttcttaccacccgctaccctctttattgccaaaacccag 257

 L1: 4128 agaggagaggaactgtggcttcgagtctctttcttaccacccgctaccctctttattgccaaaacccag 4196

**Result 2** mouse L1 2.1 gene exon 4 and flanking regions

query: 296 tcgaggtccttcctctgcagaggtcttgcttctcccggtcagctgactccctccccaagtccttcaaatatctcagaa 373

 exon4: 195 tcgaggtccttcctctgcagaggtcttgcttctcccggtcagctgactccctccccaagtccttcaaatatctcagaa 272

query: 374 catggggagaaacggggaccttgtccctcctaaggaaccccagtgctgcatgccatcat 432

 exon4: 373 catggggagaaacggggaccttgtccctcctaaggaaccccagtgctgcatgccatcat 331

query: 460 cacttatcctctcgtacattatggattttgacttattattctgctgctgcttattattataag 537

 exon4: 459 cacttatcctctcgtacattatggattttgacttattattctgctgctgcttattattataag 436

query: 538 ttgntcttcctctcggagacccctgttagaaatagttaagtaagagagagcc 597

 exon4: 537 ttgntcttcctctcggagacccctgttagaaatagttaagtaagagagagcc 494

Fig. 7 BLAST alignment of clone 7 proved correct orientation of L1 cDNA in the Thy-1.2 cassette. The top row (query) of the aligned sequences shows the reverse complement sequence resulting with primer “L1 5’up” or the original sequence resulting with primer “L1 3’down”. The aligned row below shows the results of the search with the corresponding sequences present in the genes L1, Thy-1.2 exon 4 and 2 proving correct orientation. Sequences are aligned in plus / plus orientation.

The complete L1 cDNA was sequenced to ensure intactness (data not shown).

After amplification of the plasmid, the sequence for the ampicillin resistance gene was removed from the construct by digestion with Not I, delivering the Thy-1.2 cassette with the L1 cDNA inserted (Fig. 8A). To gain DNA purity of high standard for pronuclear injection, digestion products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis followed by electroelution of the targeting sequence. Purity and concentration were determined in an agarose gel after electrophoresis by correlation to the Smart ladder (Fig. 8B).
1.2 Pronuclear injection

The linearised construct of the Thy-1.2 cassette with the L1 cDNA was injected into the nucleus of fertilised oocytes retrieved from female mice of the 129Ola background and these implanted into pseudo-pregnant foster mothers of the same strain. The transgenic DNA was successfully integrated into the genome of four offspring shown by genotyping PCR (see below).

1.3 Breeding and genotyping of the founder lines with backcross into the KO line

With the four founders, the lines “Thy-A/-B/-C/-D” were established by backcrossing into the C57BL/6J background.

Offspring were tested for the presence of transgenic L1 by the T-PCR (Fig. 9A). One primer pair (primers C and D) amplified a sequence of wildtype L1 between exon 7 and 10 of 950 bp length (Fig. 9A, “WT”) and another primer pair (primers 292 and 709) amplified a segment of 440 bp length corresponding to the transgenic L1 based on the mRNA (cDNA), if present (Fig. 9A, “T”). All founders transmitted the transgene to their offspring according to Mendelian segregation.

Lines were crossed into a mouse line deficient for L1 (Rolf et al., 2001), generated by insertion of the sequence for the tetracycline transactivator (tTA) into exon 1 of the wildtype L1 gene, leading to the L1 deficient status by disruption. For these lines (Thy-X/KO,

---

**Fig. 8 Thy-1.2 cassette with L1 insert.** A, pTSC21k \ L1 cDNA digested with Not I is shown. The top band corresponds to the Thy-1.2 cassette with the L1 cDNA insert at 10.8 kb. The band below is the remaining ampicillin resistance sequence of the vector. B, The construct is shown in three different concentrations corresponding to 120 ng (1), 60 ng (2), and 30 ng (3). The marked amounts refer to the bands of the Smart ladder on the right. A, 1 kb DNA ladder. B, Smart ladder.

**Fig. 9 PCR products of genotyping.** A, T-PCR. The heavier band at 950 bp (“WT”) corresponds to the wildtype L1 sequence. The lighter band at 450 bp (“T”) corresponds to the transgenic L1 cDNA sequence. B, KO-PCR. The lower band at 351 bp (“WT”) is the wildtype L1 sequence, the band at 453 bp (“KO”) shows presence of the tTA sequence and hence disruption of the L1 gene.
X=A/B/C/D), the KO-PCR had to be performed additionally (Fig. 9B). Here one primer (L1 arm2) bound to a sequence of wildtype L1. Together with a second primer (L1 5’up2), also binding to the sequence of wildtype L1, a sequence of 351 bp (Fig. 9B, “WT”) was amplified if the intact wildtype L1 sequence was present. Together with a third primer (tTA up3), binding to the sequence of the tetracycline transactivator, a sequence of 454 bp (Fig. 9B, “KO”) was amplified if the disrupted wildtype L1 sequence was present.

Originally the C57BL/6J background was used, but to avoid the hydrocephalus typical of KO mice with this background, it was replaced by the 129Svj strain. The L1 gene is located on the X-chromosome and KO males are mostly infertile (Cohen et al., 1998). These two facts limited the breeding possibilities to female heterozygous KO with the transgene together with WT 129Svj males.

2 Expression and localisation of transgenic L1

2.1 L1 western blot of total brain homogenate

Expression of transgenic L1 was determined on the protein level by western blot analysis in all four founder lines (Fig. 10).

The amount of L1 in adult brains of mice carrying the transgene in the wildtype background (WT_T) was compared with that of wildtype (WT) mice. The signal intensity clearly proved that transgenic L1 was expressed.

Expression was quantified and showed an overexpression of more than twofold in all founder lines (Fig. 11).
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Fig. 11 Quantification revealed strong transgenic L1 expression in the adult of all founder lines. The results are based on the evaluation of the L1 western of adult total brain homogenates with the computer software TINA 5. The top row shows the means of the L1 signals normalised by the means of the corresponding GAPDH signals for each line. These means expressed in percent with the signals for the WT set to 100 % are presented in the graphs below. All four founder lines expressed transgenic L1 at a higher level than wildtype L1 in the adult. * p < 0.05 as compared to WT mice (Mann Whitney).

Since it was impossible to distinguish between wildtype and transgenic L1, lines were crossed into the KO background (Rolf et al., 2001) to compare level of transgenic L1 only (KO_T) with the WT genotype. Western blots of adult mice showed remarkable expression of transgenic L1 exceeding that of wildtype L1 in all four founder lines (Fig. 12A-D).

Fig. 12 Transgenic L1 expression exceeded that of wildtype L1 in the adult with dramatic increase in early postnatal days. A-D, L1 western of adult total brain homogenate of WT and KO_T genotypes are shown for each line (A, Thy-A/KO; B, Thy-B/KO; C, Thy-C/KO; D, Thy-D/KO). Transgenic L1 expression was markedly stronger than wildtype L1 expression. E and F, L1 western of brain homogenate of brains prepared at P3 and P13 shows the KO_T genotype of lines Thy-C/KO (E) and Thy-D/KO (F) next to the WT. At P3 hardly transgenic L1 was expressed, while at P13 transgenic L1 exceeded wildtype L1 expression. Each sample was applied with a total protein content of 20 µg/lane. GAPDH served as control to verify equal amounts of total protein had been loaded. The KO genotype (D) was used to test specificity of the L1 antibody.

To approach the time point at which expression of transgenic L1 was launched, brains of different ages were analysed (KO_T vs. WT) in two founder lines (Thy-C/KO and Thy-D/KO). Western showed that at P3 transgenic L1 was being expressed at markedly lower rate
than wildtype L1, while expression was heavily increased at P13 above L1 wildtype level (Fig. 12E and F).

2.2 EndoH digestion of total brain homogenate

Expression of transgenic L1 was investigated further using Endoglycosidase H. The enzyme recognises glycostructures typically present on proteins inside cellular structures and cleaves them, making the protein lighter and hence causing a shift of the band in western blot analysis. Brains of several ages (P3, P13, and adult) were analysed, to pursue the situation from the start of transgenic L1 expression on to adulthood.

At all ages, L1 was sensitive to EndoH in KO_T mice, but never in WT mice (Fig. 13). However, digestion was never complete either.

---

Fig. 13 Digestion of total brain homogenate by EndoH showed that part of L1 remained intracellular in the KO_T genotype from early postnatal days onwards. Samples of the WT and KO_T genotypes are shown after digestion of total brain homogenate by EndoH and subsequent western blotting for all four lines (A, Thy-A/KO; B, Thy-B/KO; C and E, Thy-C/KO; D and F, Thy-D/KO). A-D, Samples of adult brain. E and F, Samples of brain at P3 and P13. The first lane of each genotype presents untreated brain homogenate. The lane next on the right marked with x shows the same sample treated with the enzyme endoglycosidase H. Arrows indicate the appearance of a signal at ~150 kD in the KO_T genotype indicating intracellular localisation of L1. This signal also appeared for line Thy-D/KO at P3 after longer exposure. The KO genotype (F) was used to test specificity of the L1 antibody.

Amounts were quantified in hippocampal neuron cultures stained against intra- and extracellular L1 separately (see below). Further analysis concentrated on one line (Thy-D/KO) unless indicated otherwise.

2.3 L1 immunostaining of brain sections

To encircle the localisation of L1 further, L1 immunostaining of brain sections was performed. Transgenic L1 was found in all areas of the brain in various neurons (i.e. granule cells, basket cells, deep cerebellar nuclei, pyramidal cells) at high level.

In contrast to endogenous L1 expression, transgenic L1 was not confined to axons, but was also found in dendrites and in the cell soma surrounding the nucleus, possibly in the ER or Golgi network concluded from the dotted staining (Fig. 14C). It was remarkable that intracellular accumulation was observed only in some cell types, i.e. the deep cerebellar
nuclei (Fig. 14B and C). Hippocampus showed a diffuse staining similar to natural L1 (though intensity was stronger for KO_T than for WT samples) in the important CA regions (Fig. 14A). Staining was especially intense in the hilus. The staining pattern in the cerebellum was striking since wildtype L1 is confined to axons, and in consequence staining was intense in the axon rich molecular layer, while the KO_T genotype also showed presence of L1 in the granular cell layer (Fig. 14B). Also in the retina/optic nerve and in the spinal cord transgenic L1 was expressed (data not shown). As an example of transgenic L1 in the peripheral nervous system the sciatic nerve could be named (data not shown).

2.4 Quantitative immunostaining of L1 in hippocampal neuron cultures

To obtain more precise data on the amount of transgenic L1 on the cell surface staining of hippocampal neuron cultures was performed distinguishing between extracellular and intracellular L1 (Fig. 15). Cells were kept in culture 3 days (Fig. 15A) or 13 days (Fig. 15B). The dotted pattern of staining observed in brain sections was reproduced here and the distribution of intracellular transgenic L1 was uneven among cells here as well: some cells carried an amount of transgenic L1 in their cell soma comparable to wildtype levels, while single cells were overloaded (Fig. 15B).
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Fig. 15 Primary hippocampal neuron cultures revealed intracellular and cell surface expression of transgenic L1 increasing dramatically in early postnatal days. Neurons of WT and KO_T genotype were cultured 3 days (A) and 13 days (B) before staining. The top row of panels shows cell surface staining of L1, panels below the corresponding staining of intracellular L1. Bottom panels represent the phase contrast image of the images above. A marked increase in the expression of transgenic L1 occurred, with substantial amount remaining intracellular in single neurons. Scale bar 25 µm.

Quantification confirmed that hardly any transgenic L1, especially on the cell surface, could be detected after 3 days in culture (Fig. 16A and B, left pair of bars), as already seen in western blots. A dramatic shift of the ratio after 13 days in culture was observed. Cell surface transgenic L1 reached wildtype level after 13 days in culture (Fig. 16B, right pair of bars), but a remarkable amount of transgenic L1 remained intracellular in contrast to the WT genotype, exceeding WT values (Fig. 16B, left pair of bars).
Fig. 16 Amount of cell surface transgenic L1 reached wildtype level in hippocampal neurons after 13 days in culture. Hippocampal neurons of the KO_T genotype were compared with the corresponding WT after 3 days and 13 days in culture. A, Values for intracellular L1 are presented in their distribution around the median with the normalised results (WT set to 100 %) below. B, The graphs show the corresponding results of A for cell surface L1. Amount of transgenic intracellular L1 showed a strong increase from 3 to 13 days in culture, surpassing values for wildtype L1. Expression of transgenic extracellular L1 showed a similar increase, but in comparison with wildtype L1 the amount was significantly lower at 3 days in culture and levelled with wildtype values at 13 days in culture. *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT values (Mann Whitney).

Similar results have been obtained for a second founder line analysed after 13 days in culture. But here the amount of cell surface L1 in the KO_T genotype remained below that of the WT genotype (Fig. 17B) while intracellular L1 did not reach a statistically significant difference in comparison with the wildtype L1, although the distribution of values was reminiscent of the first founder line (Fig. 17A).

Results of WT_T mice displayed a similar pattern: after 13 days in culture more L1 was found to be intracellular in mice with transgenic L1 than in the pure WT situation (Fig. 18A), while levels of cell surface L1 were comparable in both genotypes (Fig. 18B).
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Fig. 18 Amount of cell surface L1 in hippocampal neurons of WT_T mice did not exceed the pure WT genotype. Hippocampal neurons of the WT and WT_T genotype were cultured for 13 days. A, Values for intracellular L1 are presented in their distribution around the median with the normalised results (WT set to 100 %) below. B, The corresponding graphs of A for cell surface L1 are shown. *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT values (Mann Whitney).

Since in this genotype wildtype and transgenic L1 without marker were expressed next to each other it was impossible to distinguish between the two proteins. So it remained unknown how much which L1 contributed to the total amount.

Since founder line ThyDko showed more transgenic L1 on the cell surface than the other founder line, this line was chosen to analyse the functionality of transgenic L1.

3 Functionality of transgenic L1

3.1 Neurite outgrowth assay of dissociated cerebellar neurons

A classical in vitro approach to test functionality of L1 is the neurite outgrowth assay of cerebellar neurons on L1 as substrate based on the observation that cells taken from KO neurons fail to display neurite outgrowth in contrast to WT cells (Dahme et al., 1997). It was verified beforehand, that transgenic L1 was already being expressed by granule cells of the cerebellum at this age and that at least part of it was localised on the cell surface (prepared at P7: western of cerebellar granule cells; L1 immunostaining of sections of the cerebellum; staining of intra- and extracellular L1 on cerebellar neurons in culture; Fig. 19).
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Fig. 19 Transgenic L1 was expressed on the cell surface of cerebellar granule cells at P7. 
A, Granular cells of KO_T cerebella prepared at P7 were proven to express transgenic L1 by western blot. WT served as positive, KO as negative control. GAPDH verified that similar amounts of total protein content were loaded. B, L1 immunostaining of cerebellar sections at P7 confirmed transgenic L1 expression in KO_T granular cells. C, Cultured granular cells of WT and KO_T cerebella prepared at P7 were stained against extracellular (a) and intracellular (b) L1 verifying presence of transgenic L1 on the cell surface next to a considerable amount of intracellular L1 (c, phase contrast image of a / b). B, Scale bar 100 µm. C, Scale bar 25 µm.

Here, neurons of KO_T and WT_T mice prepared at P7 were compared with those of KO and WT mice grown on L1 or PLL (negative control) (Fig. 20A).

Quantitative measurement of the lengths of cell processes (total length/cell) led to the result that transgenic L1 in the KO background promoted neurite outgrowth on L1 as substrate achieving full recovery of the neurite outgrowth potential impaired in KO cells (Fig. 20B). Cell processes of neurons taken from KO_T mice were as long as those of WT mice and longer than those of KO mice when grown on L1 (Fig. 20B). Cell processes of the KO genotype were, as expected, shorter in comparison with those of the WT genotype, too (Fig. 20B). Not enough data were obtained from the WT_T genotype to be able to make a statistically founded statement. But one may already say that the L1 overexpression did not seem to have an aversive effect.
**Fig. 20** Transgenic L1 achieved full recovery regarding neurite outgrowth of cerebellar granule cells. A, Dissociated cerebellar neurons taken from WT, WT_T, KO, and KO_T mice were cultured on L1 and PLL for 24 h. Processes of cells of the KO genotype grown on L1 were shorter than those of the other genotypes grown on L1. Scale bar 25 µm. B, Total neurite lengths per cell were determined for all four genotypes, though results of the WT_T genotype were not included in the statistical evaluation due to too few values. The left graph shows that cell processes of neurons taken from KO mice were significantly shorter than those of the WT and KO_T genotype. PLL, a substrate that does not enhance neurite outgrowth, was the negative control shown on the right. * p < 0.05 as compared to groups as marked, ** p < 0.01 as compared to WT values (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis).
3.2 General appearance of KO_T mice

Mice expressing only transgenic L1 had a strong resemblance to KO mice in typical deficits (Dahme et al., 1997). They developed a hydrocephalus in the C57BL/6J background (data not shown), their eyes were lacrimous, they were smaller, the survival rate was smaller (Fig. 21), and their CST displayed hypoplasia (data not shown) in comparison with their WT littermates. WT_T mice did not display any abnormalities.

![Graph showing body weight over age for different genotypes](image)

**Fig. 21 Strong similarity in the general appearance of mice between KO and KO_T genotype.**

A. The left graph shows the weekly body weight from the age of 6 weeks to the age of 25 weeks. On the right the values for the age of 15 weeks are shown. *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT values (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis). KO and KO_T mice were smaller than their WT littermates at all ages.

B. The graph presents the distribution of male genotypes surviving P21. According to Mendelian segregation each genotype should contribute 25% to the total but KO and KO_T mice had a reduced survival rate. Numbers on bars refer to the absolute number per genotype, with a total of 474 males.

C. KO and KO_T mice suffered from lacrimous eyes (image of KO not shown). A WT mouse is presented on the left for comparison.

To avoid the hydrocephalus in KO and KO_T mice the 129Svj strain was used for further analysis.

3.3 Immunostaining of brain sections

In KO mice changes have been reported regarding morphology and placement of dopaminergic neurons (Demenyanenko et al., 2001). By simple staining of the substantia nigra against tyrosinehydroxylase, a common marker for dopaminergic neurons, no difference was obvious (Fig. 22A). More detailed and a quantitative analysis would have to be
performed since the major difference is the slight displacement of cells not detectable in qualitative analysis.

Staining of the hippocampal region against calbindin (marker for dendrites and spines of striatal neurons, Fig. 22B), synaptophysin (marker for presynaptic vesicles in neurons), and parvalbumin (marker for GABAergic neurons), and neurofilament (marker for processes of neurons) did not reveal any differences either (data not shown).

4 Behavioural analysis of KO and KO_T mice

The behaviour of KO mice was analysed in diverse paradigms testing motor function, anxiety, novelty-induced behaviour, circadian activity, and learning and memory. The comparison between WT and KO mice was made to determine the behavioural alterations following constitutive L1 ablation, whereas the comparison between KO and KO_T mice was done to test whether transgenic expression of L1 under the control of the Thy-1.2 promoter could rescue some of the behavioural alterations of KO mice. The comparison between WT and WT_T mice served to test possible effects of L1 overexpression.

4.1 Spontaneous circadian activity

No difference between any of the genotypes could be observed in their spontaneous circadian activity (Fig. 23).
Data were collected over a period of twelve days and described the typical profile of night active rodents: while activity during light hours was low, with a trough at half time and a slight increase at the end in anticipation of the dark phase ahead (Fig. 23A), activity was higher during the dark hours with a peak at half time and subduing towards the approaching light phase (Fig. 23B).

4.2 Motor function

4.2.1 Pole test

In all trials, WT and WT_T mice showed good motor coordination as they were able to turn their body by 180° on the pole and then climbed down with the head first. On the contrary, KO mice showed a deficit compared with their WT littermates as only few of them were able to climb down the pole properly and instead slid or tumbled down (Fig. 24).

Fig. 23 No difference was observed among the genotypes in their spontaneous circadian activity. Activity each hour of the light (A) and dark (B) cycle (duration 12 h each) is shown as mean of 12 days. Activity was low in the light phase, with a trough around mid-time and high in the dark phase with a peak around mid-time, typical of night active rodents.

Fig. 24 KO mice displayed severe motor impairment in the pole test with a partial rescue in KO_T mice. In the pole test, good coordination was determined by the ability to turn the body 180° in the highest part of the pole (indicated as levels 1 and 2) before climbing down. Mice turning at level 1 or 2 are presented as percent of the total mice within the same genotype group. Less KO mice than WT littermates showed good coordination at all trials. KO_T mice improved their coordination over consecutive trials, although they did not reach WT level. Ratios on bars refer to number of mice turning / total number for each genotype. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT mice (Fisher’s exact probabilities). * p < 0.05 between marked group (χ² probability).
KO_T mice performed not as well as WT mice in either trial showing a poorer performance in all trials (Fig. 24). However, in contrast to KO mice, they improved over repeated trials: Accomplishment in the second and third trial was better than in the first trial (Fig. 24). In addition, more KO_T mice were able to turn 180° during the second trial as compared to KO littermates (Fig. 24).

### 4.2.2 Wire hanging test

Mice of all genotypes performed equally well (Fig. 25) and improvement of gripping ability could not be observed in any of the genotypes.

![No differences between genotypes in the wire hanging test.](image)

**Fig. 25** No differences between genotypes in the wire hanging test. Performance in three trials was pooled and number of mice always gripping the wire with 3–4 paws is presented as percent of total mice for each genotype. Mice of all genotypes performed equally well. Ratios on bars refer to number of mice gripping / total number for each genotype.

### 4.2.3 Rotarod

WT and KO mice were comparable in their performance and showed slight improvement over consecutive trials (Fig. 26).

Although WT_T and KO_T mice achieved the same level as WT mice in the initial trials (familiarisation and first trial at accelerated speed), they did not improve and latency to fall down the rod during the following trials was lower compared with WT and KO littermates (Fig. 26).

![KO_T and WT_T, but not KO mice, showed an impaired performance in the rotarod test.](image)

**Fig. 26** KO_T and WT_T, but not KO mice, showed an impaired performance in the rotarod test. Latencies to fall in the trials at accelerated speed are presented as mean values. Performance of KO mice was as good as that of WT littermates, while KO_T and WT_T mice fell down faster than WT mice. Trial 2: WT vs. KO_T p < 0.01. Trial 3: WT vs. KO_T p < 0.001; KO vs. KO_T p < 0.05; WT vs. WT_T p < 0.05. Trial 4: WT vs. KO_T p < 0.001; KO vs. KO_T p = 0.05; WT vs. WT_T p = 0.06. (Newman Keuls after ANOVA for repeated measures).
4.3 **Exploration / anxiety**

4.3.1 **Open field**

The open field test was performed to analyse novelty-induced exploratory behaviour. To test possible effects of housing conditions on the behaviour of the mice, one test was done as mice were grouped housed and one test after mice had been single housed for 10 days. In both open field tests, KO and KO_T mice displayed higher locomotion and more exploration of the central part of the arena than WT and WT_T littermates.

**Open field, 1st test (grouped housed mice)**

The parameter total distance moved revealed higher locomotor activity of KO and KO_T mice compared with WT littermates (Fig. 27A). Moreover, KO mice, but not KO_T mice, moved faster than WT mice (Fig. 27B). KO_T mice displayed partial rescue as they did not differ from WT mice (Fig. 27B). KO and KO_T mice showed less thigmotaxis compared to WT mice as shown by the higher mean distance from the wall, while WT_T mice did not differ from WT littermates (Fig. 27C). Accordingly, KO and KO_T mice entered the centre more often and spent more time in the centre and less time in the border as compared to WT mice (Fig. 27D-F).

No difference between genotypes was observed for stretch attend posture (SAP), rearing on or off wall, self grooming, and time spent motionless. In contrast, KO mice, but not KO_T littermates, showed less flat posture in comparison to WT mice (Fig. 27G). KO and KO_T mice made less boli than WT littermates (Fig. 27H). No difference between WT and WT_T littermates was observed for any of the parameters analysed (Fig. 27).
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Fig. 27 First open field test (grouped housed mice): KO mice showed higher locomotion and lower anxiety than WT mice. The phenotype was partially rescued in KO_T mice. A, KO and KO_T mice moved more than WT mice. B, KO mice, but not KO_T mice, moved faster than WT littermates. C, KO and KO_T mice were less thigmotactic than WT mice. D, KO and KO_T mice entered the centre more often than WT mice. E, KO and KO_T and WT_T mice spent less time in the border than WT mice. F, KO and KO_T mice spent more time in the centre than WT mice. G, KO mice, but not KO_T littermates, used less flat posture than WT mice. Individual values are shown for each genotype with the horizontal line marking the group median. H, KO and KO_T mice did fewer bolus than WT mice. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT mice for KO and KO_T mice (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis). * p < 0.05 as compared to WT mice for WT_T mice (Mann Whitney).

Open field, 2nd test (single housed mice)

Mice were tested again in the open field 10 days after being single housed. Since the same results as in the first open field test were observed, data are not presented. KO and KO_T mice displayed higher locomotion in terms of distance moved and mean velocity and were less anxious towards the centre than WT mice. Again, in the same parameters as in the first trial a partial rescue could be observed in KO_T mice.

4.3.2 Light/dark test

This test was performed to test state anxiety of the mice by measuring differential tendency to explore the anxiogenic part of the open field (a brightly lit quadrant) vs. the more
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A protective zone that was illuminated by dim red light. In contrast to all other genotypes, KO_T mice did not prefer the dark compartment as compared to the light one and stayed in the red quadrant less time as compared to WT mice (Fig. 28A).

Results of the parameters total distance moved and mean velocity reflected the results observed in the open field tests. WT and WT_T mice displayed similar behaviour, while KO mice moved more and faster than WT mice (Fig. 28B and C). KO_T mice showed higher distance moved than WT mice, while their mean velocity was unaltered (Fig. 28B and C). KO and KO_T mice did less boli compared to WT mice (Fig. 28D).

4.3.3 Elevated-plus maze

The elevated-plus maze is a classical test for state anxiety in rodents in which the anxiety of an animal is measured as its higher or lower propensity to explore the open arms that are considered the most anxiogenic part of the maze. As for the open field, two tests were performed on the same mice as they were grouped housed and after isolation. Both tests showed that KO and KO_T mice were less anxious towards the open arms than WT mice, though a partial rescue was apparent in KO_T mice regarding some single behavioural parameters. The differences between genotypes were strongly diminished in the second trial.
Elevated-plus maze, 1st test (grouped housed mice)

KO mice showed higher propensity to explore the open arms as indicated by the lower latency to enter the open arms, higher entries onto and time on the open arms, and more head dips from the unprotected area as compared to WT littermates (Fig. 29A, B, D, 30D). In addition, KO mice reared less frequently and did less SAP and boli as compared to WT mice (Fig. 30A, B, E).

![Graph](image_url)

**Fig. 29 First elevated-plus maze test (grouped housed mice): KO and KO_T mice showed less anxious behaviour as compared to WT mice.** A, Latencies to enter the open arms are presented in their distribution around the median. KO, but not KO_T mice entered the open arms faster than WT mice. B, Number of entries onto the open arms is presented as percent of total transitions. KO and KO_T mice entered the open arms more often than WT mice. C, KO and KO_T mice entered the closed arms less often than WT mice. D, Time spent on the open arms is presented as percent of the total duration of the test. KO and KO_T mice spent more time on the open arms than WT mice. E, Time spent in the centre is presented as percent of the total duration of the test. Mice of all genotypes stayed in the centre to similar extend. F, Mice of all genotypes changed the arms of the maze at comparable frequencies. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT mice (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis).

In most cases, KO_T mice showed the same behavioural alterations of KO mice as compared to WT mice. Interestingly, KO_T mice showed partial recovery of the KO phenotype for latency to enter the open arms and SAP that did not differ from WT mice (Fig. 29A; 30B). Moreover, although KO_T mice reared less than WT mice, they reared more than their KO littermates, indicating that transgenic L1 expression partially rescued the KO phenotype for this parameter (Fig. 30A). There was no difference between genotypes in total transitions and time in the centre (Fig. 29E and F). No difference between WT and WT_T mice was observed besides protected and unprotected head dipping that were lower in WT_T mice as compared to WT littermates (Fig. 30C and D).
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**Fig. 30 First elevated-plus maze test (grouped housed mice):** Ethologically derived parameters indicated that KO mice were less anxious than WT mice, which was partially rescued in KO_T mice. 

A, KO and KO_T mice did less rearing than WT mice. B, KO, but not KO_T mice did less stretch attend posture than WT mice. C, WT_T mice did less head dips from the protected areas of the maze than WT mice. D, KO and KO_T mice did more head dips from the open arms than WT mice. WT_T mice did less in comparison with WT mice. E, KO and KO_T mice excreted fewer boli than WT mice. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT mice for KO and KO_T mice (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis). * p < 0.05 as compared to WT mice for WT_T mice (Mann Whitney).

**Elevated-plus maze, 2nd test (single housed mice)**

In the elevated-plus maze test performed 14 days after isolation, most of the differences between KO and KO_T vs. WT mice observed in the previous test disappeared. Nevertheless, tendencies always pointed in the same direction as before, indicating a less anxious phenotype towards the open area in KO mice with a slight rescue in KO_T mice. Again, WT_T mice did not differ from WT mice in most parameters.

For all genotypes entries onto open arms and time spent on the open arms were diminished along with an increase in latency to enter the open arms compared to the first test (Fig. 31A, B, D).
KO mice, but not KO_T mice, spent more time in the centre, doing more SAP and protected head dipping as compared to WT littermates (Fig. 31E; 32B and C). Both KO and KO_T mice did less rearing and bolus as compared to WT littermates (Fig. 32A and E). As in the first test, KO_T mice showed enhanced rearing behaviour as compared to KO littermates (Fig. 32A). There was no difference between genotypes in transitions (Fig. 31F). The only differences between WT and WT_T mice were that WT_T mice spent more time in the centre doing more SAP as compared to their WT littermates (Fig. 31E; 32B).
4.3.4 New cage/new object exploration

The new cage test was performed to analyse exploratory behaviour under low anxiogenic and novelty conditions. Similarly, the new object test was meant to test novelty-seeking and novelty-directed behaviour in an environment familiar to the mice. Thereby, both tests should be indicative of basal exploratory behaviour, novelty-seeking and trait anxiety. KO mice displayed a phenotype marked by lower arousal in the new cage and avoidance of the new object in comparison with WT mice which was partially rescued in KO_T mice. Some parameters suggested higher anxiety about the new object also in WT_T mice.

In the new cage test, time spent digging and climbing was lower and latency to start digging higher for KO mice, but not for KO_T littermates, compared to WT mice (Fig. 33A and B). No difference was detected between WT and WT_T littermates (Fig. 33A and B).
In the new cage test, KO mice displayed lower arousal than WT mice, which was partially rescued in KO_T mice. A and B, KO mice, but not KO_T littermates, spent less time digging (A) and climbing (B) compared to WT mice. C, Mice of all genotypes displayed similar exploratory behaviour as indicated by rearing behaviour. * p < 0.05 as compared to WT mice (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis).

In the new object test, KO mice spent less time in contact with the object and more time at the wall opposing the object as compared to WT mice (Fig. 34A and B). As an index of preferential exploration of the new object, rearing on object normalised by total rearing in the cage (which was unaltered between genotypes; Fig. 33C) was calculated. In accordance with the other parameters, KO mice did less rearing on the new object as compared to WT littermates (Fig. 34C). Transgenic L1 expression partially rescued the behavioural alterations of KO mice: indeed, KO_T mice spent more time at the object and reared more on the object as compared to KO mice, although their time at the object was lower than that of WT mice (Fig. 34A and C). Moreover, KO_T mice did not differ from WT mice regarding time spent at the wall opposite of the object (Fig. 34B). Interestingly, overexpression of L1 affected the behavioural response in the new object test, as indicated by the lower time spent at the object, higher time at the opposite wall opposite of the object, and lower rearing on the object of WT_T mice as compared to WT littermates (Fig. 34).

**Fig. 33** In the new cage test, KO mice displayed lower arousal than WT mice, which was partially rescued in KO_T mice. A and B, KO mice, but not KO_T littermates, spent less time digging (A) and climbing (B) compared to WT mice. C, Mice of all genotypes displayed similar exploratory behaviour as indicated by rearing behaviour. * p < 0.05 as compared to WT mice (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis).

**Fig. 34** In the new object test, KO mice showed higher avoidance of the new object compared to WT mice, which was partially rescued in KO_T mice. A, KO, KO_T and WT_T mice spent less time in contact with the new object as compared to WT littermates. B, KO and WT mice, but not KO_T littermates, spent more time at the wall most distant from the object as compared to WT mice. C, KO and WT mice, but not KO_T littermates, did less preferential rearing on the object (calculated as rearing on object divided by total rearing performed during the test) as compared to WT mice. KO_T mice did more rearing on object than KO littermates. * p < 0.05, *** p < 0.001 as compared to WT for KO and KO_T mice or between groups as marked (Dunn’s multiple comparison after Kruskal Wallis). * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01 as compared to WT mice for WT_T mice (Mann Whitney).
4.3.5 **Free-choice open field**

The free-choice open field is considered a classical test for trait anxiety, since animals are not handled and have the choice to stay in their home cage or explore an unfamiliar open field. Only few mice of the WT_T, KO and KO_T genotypes entered into the open field, not allowing a statistical analysis of the behaviour in this paradigm. In general, there was a tendency of more WT mice entering into the open field as compared to all the other genotypes, although this was statistically different only in comparison to KO_T mice (Fig. 35).

![Graph showing the number of mice leaving the home cage](image)

**Fig. 35** WT mice tended to be more prompt to enter the free-choice open field as compared to the other genotypes. The number of mice that left their home cage within 10 min after perceiving the exit is presented as percent of the total number of mice for each genotype. Most of the mice of all genotypes preferred staying in their home cages rather than entering into the open field. There was a tendency for more WT mice entering the open field as compared to the other genotypes that was significant in comparison to KO_T mice. *p < 0.05 as compared to WT mice (Fisher’s exact probabilities).

4.4 **Pharmacology: 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia**

To test sensitivity of the 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor as indicator of possible alterations in the serotonergic system, 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia was tested. Profiles of the body temperature were documented for three different doses (0.5, 1, 2 mg/kg) to establish a dose response curve. None of the genotypes showed a change in body temperature after saline injection. The drug induced a dose dependent body temperature decrease in WT and WT_T mice (Fig. 36). The effect in KO mice was reduced. While the lowest dose of 0.5 mg/kg induced a decrease, although less pronounced than in WT mice, the decrease was not enhanced by higher concentrations (Fig. 36). In KO_T mice the drug caused a decrease to a value between WT and KO mice at the lowest dose, not different to either one while values for the two higher doses corresponded to those of KO mice and were lower than those for WT mice (Fig. 36).
Fig. 36 KO mice displayed reduced 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia as compared to WT mice, partially rescued at the lowest dose in KO_T mice. The graph presents the maximal decrease of body temperature for the saline injection and for three different doses of 8-OH-DPAT reached within 65 min. after injection. In WT and WT_T mice the response increased along with the increase of the dose until saturation level was reached. In KO and KO_T mice the decrease of the body temperature was not enhanced by further increase of the doses. Partial rescue was observed in the KO_T genotype at the lowest dose of 0.5 mg/kg with a response between that of the WT and KO genotype. a WT vs. KO p < 0.05. b WT vs. KO and WT vs. KO_T p < 0.001. c WT vs. KO p < 0.01 and WT vs. KO_T p < 0.05.

4.5 Learning and memory: step-through passive avoidance

Mice of all genotypes quickly entered into the dark compartment during the conditioning trial without differences between genotypes (Fig. 37, bar 1 for each genotype). In the retention trial, all genotypes increased their latency to enter the dark compartment compared with the baseline shown during the conditioning trial (Fig. 37, bar 2 for each genotype). No difference between genotypes was detected, indicating equal learning and memory abilities of all the four genotypes.

Fig. 37 No impairment in long term memory was observed in any of the genotypes as tested in the step-through passive avoidance test. The latency to enter into the dark chamber is presented for each genotype. Bars 1 correspond to the latency of the conditioning trial, bars 2 to that of the retention trial performed 24 h after conditioning. Mice of all genotypes immediately sought the dark chamber in the first trial. The experience of the foot shock caused a strong delay in entering into the dark chamber in the retention trial. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 as compared to the first trial within one genotype (Wilcoxon signed rank test).
1 Generation of a mouse line with L1 as transgene under the control of the Thy-1.2 promoter

L1 is a cell adhesion molecule on the surface of neurons (Rathjen and Schachner, 1984) known to play a crucial role in the development of the nervous system (Kamiguchi et al., 1998b). This study addressed the question about the function of L1 for the maturation stage later in development starting at postnatal day 6. For this purpose the technique of gene manipulation by generation of transgenic mice, one of the most widely used methods to elucidate functions of proteins (Holtmaat et al., 1998), has been applied here in combination with an existing L1 deficient mouse line (Rolf et al., 2001).

The modified murine Thy-1.2 cassette directing expression solely to neurons is a constitutive promoter convenient for the aim (Lüthi et al., 1997). The enhancer element in intron III driving expression in thymocytes had been removed while the neural enhancer element in intron I was retained (Vidal et al., 1990). Since the construct represents a semi-genomic promoter, possible problems of expression due to the lack of sequences in minimal promoter constructs is circumvented (Brinster et al., 1988). The start of activity around P6-P10 and restriction to neurons has been well documented in several publications using the same promoter for transgenic protein expression (Caroni, 1997; Feng et al., 2000). The late expression of the transgene avoids interference of the transgene in early development often giving rise to problems (Westphal and Gruss, 1989). The genetic sequence for murine L1 was inserted as its cDNA without a tag to avoid possible negative influences of the additional marker protein.

To generate mice with the transgene the method of pronuclear injection was chosen where the DNA integrates itself randomly into the genome (Rulicke and Hubscher, 2000) which produced four independent founders. It is important that the transgene is not located on the Y-chromosome (then only male offspring would be positive in the next generations) and that the transgene is transmitted to the next generation according to Mendelian segregation. Breeding proved germline integration of the transgene in all four founders. Initially all founder lines were analysed because a common problem are mosaic integrations (cells with transgene next to cells without transgene in one organism), chromosomal position effects inhibiting expression, and differences in copy numbers causing differences in expression levels (Bishop, 1996).
2 Successful expression of transgenic L1

The next step was to verify that not only the transgene is incorporated into the genome but also transcribed and finally translated into protein. Expression level is generally described high in the literature where the same promoter has been used for other transgenes. Here, the expression of transgenic L1 is so strong that it is more than twofold higher than wildtype L1 level. While wildtype L1 expression starts already at embryonic day 10 (Fushiki and Schachner, 1986), transgenic L1 is only faintly detectable at P3, with a steep increase by P13. The high level of expression is maintained throughout adulthood. This profile of expression is consistent with the natural activity of the Thy-1.2 promoter (Morris, 1985) and the other reports using the promoter for transgene expression (Lüthi et al., 1997; Caroni, 1997). The reason for the high expression level could be not only due to the genuine activity of the promoter. It could also be the consequence of integration at a site of high activity in the chromosome or integration of a large number of copies of the transgene (Bishop, 1996). However, since all four founder lines show strong expression of transgenic L1 and since several reports cited above have observed similar levels, it is most likely that the drive for strong expression lies in the character of the promoter itself rather than in secondary effects.

3 Localisation of transgenic L1

It had to be verified that transgenic L1 reaches the cell surface as a rudimentary condition for functionality. Digestion by the enzyme endoglycosidase H detects possible intracellular localisation of L1. The enzyme cleaves N-glycans with terminal mannose residues found typically on proteins localised in the endoplasmatic reticulum (ER) and early Golgi network before they are processed for cell surface localisation. Intracellular localisation is concluded from EndoH sensitivity whenever transgenic L1 is present (be it on the WT or on the KO background), but never observed in the WT genotype. This signals that in the WT situation L1 is displayed on the cell surface 100 % (based on sensitivity of western blot), while part of L1 always remains inside the cell when transgenic L1 is present. Still, part of transgenic L1 must reach the cell surface in the KO background, since the protein is never completely digested. The situation in the WT background cannot be determined as precisely since the distinction between wildtype and transgenic L1 is not possible. However, deducing from the previous conclusion, it is most likely to be transgenic L1 retained in part intracellular. Already when expressed solely (in the KO background) some of transgenic L1 remains inside, while the pure WT genotype transports all of L1 to the cell surface. One cause for L1 retention in the ER might be a strictly controlled transport maintaining the amount of
L1 displayed on the cell surface at a definite saturation level. It has been shown that L1 underlies clathrin mediated endocytosis in growth cones (Kamiguchi et al., 1998a) corroborating the proposed mechanism. In addition, the machinery of the cell might not be able to process the flood of L1 (in the KO_T and WT_T genotypes) leading to excess L1 in the cell soma. Intracellular accumulation of the transgenic protein expressed under the control of the Thy-1.2 promoter has been observed frequently (Götz et al., 2001). Retention in the ER due to misfolding of the protein often observed, also for mutated forms including L1 (Rünker et al., 2003), was excluded since the original cDNA was retrieved from mouse.

Immunostaining of brain sections against L1 revealed that transgenic L1 expression is confined to neurons, but in the WT_T and KO_T genotype some cells are heavily loaded with L1 in their cell soma (excluding the nucleus), while others are comparable to the WT genotype. For example, the deep cerebellar nuclei are stained intensely, which has also been reported in another study (Wisden et al., 2002). Possible explanations have already been discussed for the results of the endoglycosidase H digestion. Production of transgenic L1 may be so high that the machinery of the cell trafficking L1 to the cell surface is challenged or a control mechanism may suppress further transport. It cannot be proven that only transgenic L1 is intracellular in the WT_T genotype. However, this can be assumed (similar to the interpretation of the endoglycosidase H results) since only whenever transgenic L1 is present, intracellular L1 is observed. Why the distribution of transgenic L1 among cells or rather the production rate of transgenic L1 varies to such extend among cells remains unclear. This effect was also observed when the promoter was used for the expression of other transgenes (Wisden et al., 2002; Götz et al., 2001). All the same, transgenic L1 does reach the cell surface undoubtedly.

4 Amount of cell surface transgenic L1 comparable to wildtype level

Since it is crucial that the amount of L1 on the cell surface is sufficient to expect functional effects, quantitative studies have been performed on hippocampal primary neuron cultures. This method to identify the localisation of proteins is well established (Sytnyk et al., 2002; Sampo et al., 2003). Periods in culture were chosen by orientation on the start of the activity of the Thy-1.2 promoter: after 3 days in culture (corresponding to P3 \textit{in vivo}) and 13 days in culture (P13) the situation before and after start of strong activity of the promoter was visualised. The results concerning level of activity at the two time points reflect the \textit{in vivo} situation shown by western blot and published in the literature (Lüthi et al., 1997). Expression of transgenic L1 is generally low at the early stage, while it is high later on. The endogenous
L1 promoter is already active before the Thy-1.2 promoter starts activity, so wildtype L1 is well detectable at P3, while transgenic L1 is not. The large quantities of L1 in the cell soma in the KO_T and WT_T genotypes confirm the observation in the L1 immunostaining of brain sections and suggest ER or Golgi localisation, typical structures where proteins are found when processed for targeting to the cell surface, concluded from the dotted pattern of staining. This complements the results of the endoglycosidase H digestion. Quantification shows that wildtype level is reached in the KO_T genotype regarding cell surface L1 by P13. Data for the WT_T genotype suggest the same. This supports the above proposed mechanism of saturation. It is important for the interpretation of the following studies that results can be compared between WT and KO_T genotypes without effects being based on different amounts of L1 present on the cell surface.

5 Functionality of transgenic L1

Rescue of the KO phenotype in vitro

There is a rescue of the KO phenotype regarding neurite outgrowth capability of cerebellar neurons in the KO_T genotype. It is known that cell adhesion molecules including L1 are involved in neurite outgrowth (Walsh et al., 1997b). In vitro studies have shown that the neurite outgrowth promoting effect of L1 as a substrate given to neurons deficient for L1 in culture is abolished due to the loss of homophilic binding (Lemmon et al., 1989; Dahme et al., 1997) and is even enhanced when ectopically expressed on astrocytes (Mohajeri et al., 1996). This demonstrates the high potency of L1 in this important process. Data of KO_T neurons compared with those of WT and KO genotypes reveal that transgenic L1 compensates for wildtype L1 and enhances neurite outgrowth to the full degree of WT neurons. This finding is a key result for proof of the functionality of transgenic L1. Not enough data have been obtained to interpret the WT_T genotype. It will be interesting to see whether these neurons show even an increase in neurite outgrowth in comparison with the WT genotype since they are equipped with wildtype L1 next to transgenic L1. But prediction may be that the effect is not strong if any at all, since the crucial point is most probably the amount of L1 on the cell surface, and quantification has shown that there is no increase in WT_T mice.
No rescue of the KO phenotype in the general appearance / morphology of mice

The KO mouse displays several characteristic features due to the deficiency of L1. And a lot of distinct marks for the KO phenotype are found in the KO_T genotype despite the rescue observed \textit{in vitro}. Male KO mice are mostly infertile, less viable and smaller from birth than their WT littermates (Dahme et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 1998). This is also the case for the male mice with transgenic L1 in the KO background. Additionally, KO and KO_T mice suffer from sunken, lacrimating eyes, never observed in WT or WT_T mice (Dahme et al., 1997; Fransen et al., 1998a). When bred in the C57BL/6J background, KO mice develop a severe hydrocephalus (Dahme et al., 1997) appearing also in KO_T mice. The corticospinal tract of KO mice is reduced (Dahme et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 1998), also observed in KO_T mice.

All these examples of deficits typical of KO mice found in KO_T mice are probably caused by the lack of L1 in early development. It is known for example, that the principle formation of the corticospinal tract is established by P3 (Martin, 2005). At this time, wildtype L1 is being highly expressed to assist in the guidance of the axons across the midline. It has been shown that the Thy-1.2 promoter only barely starts faint activity at this time point and therefore L1 necessary for the process is not provided.

Another reason for malfunctions in KO_T mice resembling those of KO mice might also be not time related, but dependent on the cell type. Cells expressing transgenic L1 are neurons, but not all neuron types express the same amount of transgenic L1. Either there might be a lack of L1 on neuron subtypes relevant for the process, or the L1 in spite of cell surface localisation on the correct cell type is not functional despite the \textit{in vitro} results. Of course, the combination of both causes (time of expression and cell type) might contribute to the phenotype of KO_T mice. Disturbance by intracellular L1 deposits is excluded as a reason, since the KO_T phenotype is so close to the KO phenotype and WT_T mice resemble WT mice.

Immunostaining against functional markers (tyrosinehydroxylase, calbindin, synaptophysin, parvalbumin etc.) do not reveal any differences among the genotypes. A publication on another L1 deficient mouse has also reported no differences using the same markers (Fransen et. al., 1998a). But it has been proven that dopaminergic neurons display abnormalities in placement and morphology in L1 deficient mice (Demyanenko et al., 2001). Very thorough and detailed analyses would be necessary to find these differences.

The fact that KO_T neurons display full neurite outgrowth in contrast to KO neurons provokes the question why so many features of the KO phenotype appear in KO_T mice. The
decisive difference between the two situations is the context under which the effects are observed. While the deficits are retrieved from the in vivo situation, the neurite outgrowth effect is produced in an in vitro assay. So, although transgenic L1 has been proven to be functional in itself, the circumstances might be aversive to carry out its positive effect embedded in the natural environment. Deficits already displayed before the expression start of transgenic L1 might be too strong to be overcome by transgenic L1. Alternatively, necessary developmental stages gone wrong due to the lack of L1 might inhibit transgenic L1 function. The most prominent example is the blocking of regeneration in the central nervous system, and already in the development of the nervous system inhibitory mechanisms counterplay outgrowth promoting systems (Schachner, 1994; Skaper et al., 2001). Another possibility could be that the cell and environment are wrong, because not all cells expressing naturally L1 are the identical ones expressing transgenic L1 so that maybe downstream signalling pathways are not available and cannot be triggered.

6 Behavioural analysis of KO mice

To test possible rescue effects of transgenic L1 expression on the behaviour of KO mice, the phenotype of KO mice had to be determined, since only little data was available on the behaviour of this mutant. This study produced novel findings for KO mice revealing a distinct phenotype especially regarding motor impairment and altered anxiety status. The tests were restricted to the male offspring not only due to the limited breeding options mentioned above. Behaviour is subject to the influence of a vast number of factors, in females the hormone cycle is an additional factor. By concentrating on male mice maintained under equal conditions variability caused by the hormonal cycle in females is avoided (Lathe, 2004).

No alteration in the spontaneous circadian activity

The genotype of the mice does not have any influence on their spontaneous circadian activity. They had been kept under an inverted light dark cycle for several months by the time their activity was monitored. The general low activity throughout is striking, but strains are known to show a different baseline level of activity in both phases (Rodgers et al., 2002; Tang and Sanford, 2005). In contrast to the unaltered circadian rhythm described here, hypoactivity has been reported for KO mice (Fransen et al., 1998a). But in this publication the observation was limited to two hours, while here the data were collected for more than ten days. As true for all behavioural tests, results are strongly influenced by handling of mice which cannot be completely identical in different laboratories. Perhaps handling shortly before measurement
produced a different reaction in KO vs. WT mice, not evident anymore in the observation of their undisturbed activity over days. In addition, the L1 deficient mouse line used in their study was also generated differently and the genetic background was not identical (Cohen et al., 1998).

**Motor impairment**

Motor deficits of KO mice are apparent only in the pole test. Since performance in the wire hanging test and rotarod chiefly depends on the strength of the forepaws, the reported weakness in the hind limbs (Dahme et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 1998) may have been masked. In addition, the dragging of the hind limbs has been observed in aged mice, much older than the mice used here. Failure of KO mice in the pole test could not be due to a deficit in using the paws argued with the good performance in the wire hanging test. It is unlikely that the motor impairment of KO mice is caused by higher stress response to the handling and protocol (Metz et al., 2001), since the behaviour in tests measuring state anxiety revealed KO mice as being less anxious (see below). Mice knocked out for other molecules have been observed to improve their motor abilities with training (Freitag et al., 2003). The fact that there is no improvement in KO mice demonstrates the high impact of the L1 deficiency on a central mechanism for this motor skill. The tests measure different aspects of motor coordination and the challenge to turn the whole body in a coordinated manner on a narrow pole is more demanding than just maintaining the rhythm of a rotating rod or holding on to a wire, explaining the impairment limited to the pole test.

In addition, various centres (i.e. corticospinal tract, cerebellum) are involved in the motor function and so cells deficient for L1 might be involved in the functional system applied in the pole test but not in the other tests. KO mice suffer from reduced corticospinal tract with errors in the projection of neuronal axons (Dahme et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 1998). Since this pathway is pivotal in motor function (Martin, 2005) it is likely that this malformation is the cause of the impaired performance. Interestingly, also dopamine transporter knock out mice fail in this paradigm (Fernagut et al., 2003). Since it is known that the dopaminergic network is altered in L1 deficient mice (Demyanenko et al., 2001), this could be a hint for another factor influencing motor function. However, other characteristics of the phenotype are not congruent in the two types of knock out mice. Though KO mice have a reduced vermis of the cerebellum (Fransen et al., 1998a), otherwise the cerebellum does not seem to be affected, so this might explain that deficiency in L1 does not affect performance in all tests. Interestingly, motor impairment was revealed in the rotarod test in mice deficient for
the close homologue of L1 (CHL1) despite a cerebellum of normal morphological organisation (Pratte et al., 2003). The two molecules display high similarity in their structure but apparently their functional role is quite divergent in this respect.

The results for KO mice in the rotarod test are in slight contradiction to previously published data (Fransen et al., 1998a). The authors describe KO mice as struggling to keep the balance on the rotarod, though this did not lead to reduced latencies. This is not observed in the present study. But it must be noted that experiments were performed on L1 deficient mice generated by different approaches and also the genetic background of the strain was different. As the hydrocephalus developing in the C57BL/6J background of KO mice, but at most slightly enlarged ventricles in the 129Sv background, demonstrates, the strain can make decisive contributions to the manifestation of effects (Dahme et al., 1997; Demyanenko et al., 1999). In addition, different handling of mice influences performance of mice in all sorts of paradigms, even those measuring locomotor ability (Patin et al., 2004). Anyway, the motor impairment of KO mice that has been reported as a personal observation (Fransen et al., 1998a), could be better determined and quantified in this study by using the pole test.

**Decreased state, but increased trait anxiety**

Several tests measuring anxiety in various different contexts have been analysed investigating trait (intrinsic) and state (reaction to a stimulus) anxiety (Lister, 1990). Exploratory behaviour and locomotor activity are influenced by anxiety, but also form a separate category of behaviour by themselves analysed in the same paradigms. The overlap renders the interpretation of behavioural parameters more difficult (Tang and Sanford, 2005). The focus has been on paradigms chiefly evaluating state anxiety under different conditions in terms of environmental novelty and anxiogenic stimuli (except for the free-choice open field test) to obtain enough data for sound evaluation.

A standard paradigm is the open field where several parameters characterise exploration and anxiety on one hand and locomotor activity (possibly modulated by the influence of the stressful situation) on the other hand (Aburawi et al., 2003). The light/dark and elevated-plus maze are commonly used to test anxiety in rodents. In the light/dark paradigm, the mouse has the possibility to escape from the bright part of the arena into a dark quadrant. A modification of the classical set up consisting in two boxes (Belzung et al., 1987; Bourin and Hascoet, 2003) was used, so that conditions were similar to those of an open field. The most widely used behavioural paradigm to characterise state anxiety is the elevated-plus maze where the mouse is exposed to unfamiliar territory and can choose between supposedly
safe (arms closed by walls) and dangerous (open arms) environment (Pellow et al., 1985; Belzung 2001). Various parameters including traditional ones (e.g. time spent on the open arms) and ethologically derived ones (e.g. several forms of risk assessment and exploration) are taken into account contributing to a finely tuned description of the behavioural response of an animal (Rodgers and Johnson, 1995). As in the open field, the origin (anxiety or exploratory drive) of each single behavioural parameter cannot be attributed easily as it is determined by a composition of factors (Weiss et al., 1998).

The behaviour of KO mice is marked by reduced state anxiety and increased exploration in all tests. In the open field, the conclusion is based on the enhanced exploration of the central area and reduced risk assessment shown by KO mice. In the elevated-plus maze, KO mice explore the open arms to higher extend without risk assessment while WT mice clearly prefer the sheltered arms and explore the open arms only after thorough risk assessment. KO mice also explore more often the open arms by unprotected head dipping on one hand and tend to do less self grooming as reaction to stress than their WT littermates also rooting in their less anxious state (Kalueff and Tuohimaa, 2004).

It has been reported that lower anxiety in mice is accompanied by higher novelty-seeking (Kazlauckas et al., 2005). Indeed, in the new object test and partially in the open field, KO mice show increased rearing, a parameter that is considered as a typical indicator of novelty-induced exploration (Crusio et al., 1989; Bardo et al., 1990). Less exploration by rearing in KO mice in the elevated-plus maze is most likely a secondary effect due to the shorter time they spend in the closed arms, the part of the maze where rearing is mainly done. Indeed, in this test, KO mice show enhanced exploration of the open arms by head dipping, thereby supporting higher novelty-induced exploration in these mice.

The reason for the higher locomotor activity of KO mice in the open field and light/dark tests could also be interpreted as enhanced reactivity to novelty. An innate drive to move more in general is excluded on the basis of the unaltered spontaneous circadian activity in the home cage. It has been reported that less anxious mice display higher locomotion in the open field, suggesting a correlation between the two behaviours (Kazlauckas et al., 2005). But another study did not find any correlation between locomotion and anxiety in the hole board test (Ohl et al., 2003). One possible explanation for the higher activity interprets locomotion as search for escape (Easton et al., 2003). This reaction could be rooted in an unbiased altered strategy or in panic like anxiety. The hypothesis could also explain the increased exploration of the open arms in the elevated-plus maze by KO mice and the latter cause has indeed been observed in a modified elevated-plus maze (Jones et al., 2002). This would also corroborate...
the interpretation of higher trait anxiety in the free-choice open field and new object tests. In
the elevated-plus maze, locomotion is unaltered in KO mice as indicated by total transitions.
General transitions in the elevated-plus maze serve as control, to verify that differences in
entries into the arms are not a consequence of higher activity but of the emotional state.

As indicator of the anxiety state of the mouse its defecation behaviour can be analysed
along with the other parameters (Kim et al., 2002). At first glance the data here are consistent
with the results of the other parameters, indicating lower state anxiety in KO mice. But when
taken into account that this result is reproduced in every single test despite divergences in
other parameters this interpretation seems frail. It is more likely that L1 has some
physiological influence provoking reduced defecation behaviour when lacking. This is
supported by the fact that expression of transgenic L1 is restricted to neurons, and on the
contrary, it is known that wildtype L1 is also found in other organs such as the intestine (Thor
et al., 1987) and in the kidneys where it causes severe morphological malformations in KO
mice (Debiec et al., 2002).

Neither housing conditions nor the experience of several behavioural tests affected the
behaviour in the second trial of the open field and reproduced the results of the first, although
it has been observed that mice react differently when encountering the open field a second
time (McIlwain et al., 2001). Since there was a time lag of several weeks between the trial
when grouped and the one when isolated, this could explain the recurrence of initial behaviour
of the mice when isolated. In contrast, in the second trial of the elevated-plus maze
significances were reduced or abolished because values converged. There was a decrease in
the exploration of the open arms in all genotypes. This effect at second exposure has been
reported before (Holmes et al., 2000). Especially KO mice obviously retracted from the open
arms back to the centre from where they increased their risk assessment as a sign of higher
alert. Still they were less anxious in comparison with WT mice apparent for example in the
higher frequency of protected head dipping. Increase in anxiety resulting from social isolation
has been reported for the elevated-plus maze (Weiss et al. 2004). Here housing did not have
an effect in the open field re-exposure when isolated and the same has been observed in the
cited study. Another factor could have been the older age (Bessa et al., 2005). But here the
age of the mice was not considerably older at re-exposure.

Contradictory to the result that KO mice spend more time in the central part of the
open field as compared to WT littermates, a study describes extremely high thigmotaxis in
KO mice tested in the open field (Fransen et al., 1998a). It is possible that the opposite results
of this study and that of Fransen et al. (1998a) are due to different protocols and
environmental conditions known to affect the behaviour of mice (Calamandrei, 2004; Wahlsten et al., 2003). But we tend to exclude this hypothesis since the behavioural phenotype of KO mice was, in our case, confirmed in different behavioural paradigms under different experimental and housing conditions in which we never observed the abnormalities described by Fransen et al. (1998a). It is therefore most likely that divergences between the two studies are caused by differences in the genetic background between the two independent mutants.

In contrast to the open field, the light/dark test and the elevated-plus maze, where KO mice display low state anxiety and an enhanced novelty-induced exploration, their behaviour in other tests for anxiety is determined by higher trait anxiety. One paradigm focusing on trait anxiety or state anxiety under low anxiogenic conditions is the new cage/new object test (Brandewiede et al., 2005). Arousal, probably related to state anxiety, is elicited to lower degree in KO mice deduced from less climbing and digging (Deacon and Rawlins, 2005). Parameters characterising the reaction directed towards the new object reveal avoidance by KO mice although their general exploratory behaviour is elevated as in the other tests above suggesting an increase in trait anxiety. A test to study even more specifically trait anxiety is the free-choice open field (Griebel et al., 1993). Here, exploration of the new territory depends solely on the innate drive of the mouse. The factor stress, still mildly present in the new cage/new object test is removed completely. Exploration of the arena was very low for all genotypes, not only in number, but the mice that did go out hardly covered the arena but just shortly and barely stepped outside. It is known that there are differences among mouse strains in a lot of behavioural parameters, including anxiety related ones and explorative character (Fernandes et al., 2004). So perhaps the strain used here is not optimal for testing in the free-choice open field and explains that only a tendency in difference becomes apparent. Nevertheless, the tendency demonstrates higher trait anxiety in KO mice, corroborating the interpretation of the new object test.

The combination of the results (reduced state anxiety, raised trait anxiety) has also been observed for other mutant mice (Brandewiede et al., 2005) and proves the possibility of inversion of the two types of anxiety in one animal. Additionally, pharmacological studies verified trait and state anxiety as distinct (Belzung et al., 1994; Griebel et al., 1996). Interestingly, the substances identifying the anxiety types, target GABA receptors which play a role in the network of inhibitory neurons that has been proven to display abnormalities in electrophysiological studies in L1 deficient mice (Saghatelyan et al., 2004).
Reduced response in 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia

An approach to elucidate possible disturbances in the serotonergic system involves the injection of 8-OH-DPAT, an agonist of the 5-HT_{1A} receptor (Meller et al., 1992). The receptor is involved in the regulation of the body temperature such that the drug induces a decrease of the body temperature (Millan et al. 1993; Hedlund et al., 2004). The dose response curve confirms this for WT mice and shows a dose dependency verifying the specificity of the effect. The data obtained for KO mice clearly suggests lower affinity and/or lower number of available receptors targeted by the drug in KO mice compared to WT mice. Interestingly, a reduced 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia has also been observed for the conditional L1 deficient mouse (Zenthöfer et al., personal communication), suggesting that the alteration is due to L1 functions in the adult brain rather than to developmental abnormalities. The serotonergic system is known to be involved in anxiety related states. Similarly to L1 deficient mice, mice knocked out for the 5-HT_{1A} receptor display resistance to 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia as well as reduced anxiety (Heisler et al., 1998). Another mutant knocked out for the serotonin transporter also displays reduced response to the drug, although in that case mice show increased anxiety (Holmes et al., 2003). Since the brain is a complex environment with systems underlying modulatory influences (negative feed back, compensating mechanisms, and alternative pathways, different environment leading to different computation of signals) the contrasting results regarding anxiety next to the identical insensitivity to 8-OH-DPAT is conceivable. A link between L1 – serotonergic system – anxiety is suggested deduced from the results testing anxiety and 8-OH-DPAT responsiveness in L1 deficient mice. Interestingly, corticosterone is said to be involved in the system affected by 8-OH-DPAT as well (McAllister-Williams et al., 2001; Man et al., 2002). Integration of a communication between corticosterone and L1 within the proposed path is reasonable. Stress studies show a correlation between L1 and corticosterone and it has been suggested that L1 might mediate stress-induced anxiety and cognitive impairments (Sandi et al., 2005).

No impairment in long-term memory

One possible paradigm evaluating hippocampus-dependent learning ability and memory of mice is the step-through passive avoidance test (Izquierdo and Medina, 1997). Mice prefer dark to bright environment but when this darkness is linked with a negative stimulus they will avoid it at re-exposure if the experience is remembered. This is exactly the reaction the mice of all genotypes show here. Deficiency for L1 did not interfere with the learning effect seen in WT mice indicating intact long-term memory. The identical result has
been published for another L1 deficient mouse (Fransen et al., 1998a). Still, this is quite interesting because numerous experiments have shown involvement of L1 in the learning process. Injection of L1 antibodies in chicken and L1.1 antibodies in zebrafish cause amnesia in avoidance conditioning paradigms (Scholey et al., 1995; Pradel et al., 2000). The antibodies are thought to simulate the L1 deficient status which in turn blocks learning. Apparently the mechanism is more complex since KO mice with constant L1 deficiency are not impaired in the passive avoidance task as would have been predicted from the previous studies. Stress is recognised as a component modulating the cognitive system via influence of corticoids and cell adhesion molecules, including L1. Increase in L1 expression has been observed after training in a contextual fear conditioning paradigm delivering another hint for a functional role of L1 in learning (Merino et al., 2000; Sandi et al., 2001). Several studies have based their conclusions on the Morris Water Maze, retrieving information on the mechanism for spatial learning. For example, there is a correlation between mRNA level and latency in finding the platform (Venero et al., 2004) and mice expressing ectopically L1 learn better (Wolfer et al., 1998). Furthermore, conditional L1-KO and CHL1-KO (molecule highly similar in structure to L1) mice display altered learning (Montag-Sallaz et al., 2002; Law et al., 2003). Unfortunately KO mice display sunken eyes so that a Morris Water Maze working with visual cues would probably not deliver an answer for the capability of KO mice concerning spatial learning. The same KO mouse for which the passive avoidance results have been published has been tested in the Morris Water Maze and spatial learning defects have been observed though the authors themselves offer also another interpretation of the results not due to memory dysfunction but to motor abnormality preventing proper swimming performance (Fransen et al., 1998a).

7 Partial rescue of the behavioural KO phenotype in KO_T mice

Expression of transgenic L1 in the KO background leads to a partial recovery of the KO phenotype in some behavioural parameters proving the deficits to be specific for L1.

Motor deficits of KO mice in the pole test are partially rescued despite the fact that KO_T mice display hypoplasia of the corticospinal tract as KO mice. Either transgenic L1 functions within the remaining corticospinal tract, or another area expressing transgenic L1 compensates, for example it is expressed in the motor neurons of the spinal cord. In contrast, transgenic L1 leads to impairment in the rotarod not observed for the KO genotype. Responsible for coordinated movement are the deep cerebellar nuclei (Grusser-Cornehls and Baurle, 2001). Especially in these cells large deposits of intracellular L1 in the KO_T
genotype are detected that might disturb the signalling pathway. This would also explain the difficulties of WT_T mice in this task, charged with intracellular L1 as well. The importance of the cerebellum for the movement required in the rotarod is evident in the reduced performance of other transgenic mice with severely affected cerebellum, i.e. overexpression of Purkinje cells (signalling to the deep cerebellar nuclei; Goswami et al., 2005) and a mouse model for the Down syndrome (Costa et al., 1999). This corroborates the suggestion that intracellular L1 in cells of the cerebellum interfere with function necessary in the rotarod test.

In the tests measuring state anxiety (open field, light/dark, and elevated-plus maze tests) KO_T mice display most of the behavioural alterations shown by KO mice. Nevertheless, several parameters that differ between KO and WT mice are unaltered in KO_T mice, such as latencies to enter onto the open arms of the elevated-plus maze, mean velocity in the open field, and risk assessment and rearing as measured in all tests, suggesting that transgenic L1 expression partially recovers alterations of KO mice. Also in the new cage/new object tests, avoidance of the new object shown by KO mice is rescued in KO_T mice. It is therefore possible that the partial effects of transgenic L1 on state anxiety levels of the mice become apparent only under relatively low anxiogenic and novel stimuli, whereas transgenic L1 is not enough to compensate constitutive L1 ablation when environmental stimuli have strong valence (such as in the open field and elevated-plus maze). The partial rescue of the state anxiety is confirmed by the partial rescue in 8-OH-DPAT-induced hypothermia, indicative of functioning of the serotonergic system which is involved in anxiety.

Since transgenic L1 is on the cell surface of neurons and has been shown to function in vitro, it certainly has the potency to modulate behaviour. But the complex environment of the brain may block L1 mediating complete re-establishment in all behavioural parameters. The cause for the observed only partial rescue could be explained with the same argument as already described for the morphological malformations. The promoter driving transgene expression is active in different cell subtypes (and starts activity later) perhaps not involved in or not equipped with the required machinery for natural L1 function. Morphological malformations develop already early before expression of transgenic L1 starts. These malformations in turn could be the reason why effects in motor ability mediated by transgenic L1 are only faintly detectable. The recovery in anxiety related behaviour, although only partial, agrees well with the results for the conditional L1 deficient mouse (Law et al., 2003). The behaviour of this mouse must have been shaped by lack of L1 in the mature nervous system, verified as specific for L1 by the constitutive KO mouse in this study. Both conclusions (specificity for L1 and determination in the mature nervous system) are
confirmed with KO_T mice, where L1 expression has late onset. In addition, it is published that mouse strains of different genetic background show a different strength in response to behavioural tests (Tang et al., 2005). Maybe responses are so low that possible differences between KO and KO_T mice due to recovery do not come to light or only incompletely.

With the exception of the motor impairment in the rotarod discussed above, in most cases, WT_T mice show the same behaviour as that of WT mice. The data on the amount of cell surface L1 in this genotype indicates no difference to the WT genotype. This could explain the similarity in the behavioural testing (and in the other analyses) with WT mice. Although WT_T mice carry a lot of intracellular L1 their data allow the assumption that this does not interfere with the cells’ function (with the exception of the rotarod, see above) and serves as control for KO_T mice. So the faint rescue seen in the KO_T genotype which also display substantial amount of intracellular L1 can be attributed to specific effects of cell surface transgenic L1. Decreased exploration by head dipping in the elevated-plus maze and increased caution towards the new object in the new object test suggest influence of transgenic L1 in the WT background on anxiety but could not be further specified. Apparently circuits were involved where L1 overexpression has an enhancing effect on anxiety. This contradiction to the partial rescue effect of KO_T mice towards WT level in anxiety might be explained by the difference in their wildtype L1 status. Although both genotypes express transgenic L1, it is likely that the presence or absence of wildtype L1 may have led to the inverted effect.

8 Concluding remarks

The study has newly shown that the L1 deficient mouse displays a characteristic phenotype with deficits in motor function and altered anxiety status. The in vitro neurite outgrowth assay of cerebellar neurons produces full recovery of the KO phenotype by expression of transgenic L1 on neurons, while behavioural analyses shows a faint partial rescue effect. Apparently the brain tissue environment or development of the environment in the absence of L1 before expression of transgenic L1 starts, does not give way for functional effects. In addition, the difference between wildtype L1 and transgenic L1 regarding subtypes of neurons expressing the protein might be the cause for reduced effects of the transgenic L1. Nevertheless, the combined analysis of the KO and KO_T genotype led to the discovery of specific L1 function in behaviour.
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VII APPENDIX

1 Abbreviations

Chemical symbols of elements and molecules, amino acids, and nucleobases / nucleosides / polynucleotides were abbreviated using the codes according to the recommendations by IUPAC and IUBMB.

Dimensions: k (kilo, 10⁴), c (centi, 10⁻²), m (milli, 10⁻³), µ (micro, 10⁻⁶), n (nano, 10⁻⁹), p (pico, 10⁻¹²), s (seconds), min (minutes), h (hours), m (meter), g (gram), l (litre), bp (base pairs), kb (kilo bases), kD (kilo Dalton), U (enzymatic units), V (Volt), A (Ampère), lux, °C (degree Celsius), xg (g force), rpm (rotations per minute), % (percent)

5-HT₁A R serotonin₁A receptor
8-OH-DPAT (±)-8-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino) tetralin
ACC agenesis of the corpus callosum
ADAM a disintegrin-like and metalloprotease
Amp ampicillin
Ampᵣ ampicillin resistance
ANOVA analysis of variance
AP-2 adaptor protein-2
APS ammonium peroxodisulfate
ATP adenosine triphosphate
BCA bicinechin acid
BIG brain-derived Ig molecule
BLASTN Basic Local Alignment Search Tool, Nucleotides
BME basal medium, eagle
BSA bovine serum albumine
CA cornu ammonis
CD 24 cluster of differentiation 24
cDNA complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CHL1 close homologue of L1
CRASH corpus callosum agenesis, retardation, adducted thumbs, shuffling gait, hydrocephalus
CST corticospinal tract
Cy2/3 cyanine 2/3
dATP deoxyadenosine-triphosphate
DCC deleted in colorectal cancer
dCTP deoxycytosine-triphosphate
ddH₂O bi-distilled water
dGTP deoxyguanosine-triphosphate
DNA deoxyribonucleic acid
DNase deoxyribonuclease
dNTP deoxynucleoside-triphosphate
DTT dithiothreitol
dTTP deoxythymidine-triphosphate
ECL enhanced chemiluminescence
E.coli Escheria coli
EDTA ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
e.g. exampli gratia
EGF epidermal growth factor
EGTA ethyleneglycol-bis-2-aminoethylether-tetraacetic acid
ELISA enzyme linked immuno sorbent assay
EndoH endoglycosidase H
ER endoplasmatic reticulum
et al. et alii
FGF-R fibroblast growth factor receptor
Fig. Figure
GABA γ aminobutyric acid
GAPDH glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GPI glycophosphatidylinositol
HBSS Hank's Balanced Salt Solution
hL1-Fc human L1-constant fragment
HRP horseradish peroxidase
HSAS hydrocephalus due to stenosis of the aqueduct of Sylvius
i.e. id est
Ig immunoglobulin
IUBMB International Union of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IUPAC</td>
<td>International Union of Pure &amp; Applied Chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>L1 knock out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO_T</td>
<td>L1 knock out_transgenic L1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD-1</td>
<td>L1-like adhesion-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Luria Bertani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG</td>
<td>myelin-associated glycoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>mitogen-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASA</td>
<td>mental retardation, aphasia, shuffling gait, adducted thumbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>3-(n-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAM</td>
<td>neural cell adhesion molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCBI</td>
<td>National Centre for Biotechnology Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NgCAM</td>
<td>neuron-glia cell adhesion molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NILE</td>
<td>nerve growth factor inducible large external glycoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>N-methyl-D-aspartate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NrCAM</td>
<td>Ng CAM-related cell adhesion molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.C.T.</td>
<td>optimal cutting temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>optical density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>postnatal day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.a.</td>
<td>pro analysi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>phospholipase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLL</td>
<td>poly-L-lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>potentia hydrogenii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>polyvinyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPTP</td>
<td>receptor type phosphotyrosine phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTK</td>
<td>receptor tyrosine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>stretch attend posture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.M.</td>
<td>standard error of the mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sema3A</td>
<td>semaphorin 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1</td>
<td>spastic paraplegia-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAE</td>
<td>tris acetate EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG-1</td>
<td>transiently expressed axonal surface glycoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>tris buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS-T</td>
<td>tris buffered saline-Tween</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>tris EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>tetramethylethylenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFB</td>
<td>transforming buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIU</td>
<td>trypsin inhibitor unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tTA</td>
<td>tetracycline transactivator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultra violet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs.</td>
<td>versus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>wildtype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT_T</td>
<td>wildtype_transgenic L1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMNH</td>
<td>Zentrum für Molekulare Neurobiologie Hamburg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence 5’ – 3’</th>
<th>comment*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1 X1</td>
<td>TGA TGC TGC GGT ACG TGT GGC</td>
<td>bp 44-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dela2</td>
<td>GTG GCC GAA GGA GAC TGT AA</td>
<td>bp 484-503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeHa</td>
<td>CAA TGT GGG CGA AGA GGA CG</td>
<td>bp 933-952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeIIHa</td>
<td>CCA GTG GCT GGA TGA AGA AGG</td>
<td>bp 1407-1427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeVHa</td>
<td>GCT GAA GAC CAC AAC TCT CCC</td>
<td>bp 1945-1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apa1</td>
<td>GTG GTC TAA CAC TTC CAC ATT TGT GCC</td>
<td>bp 2355-2381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apa2</td>
<td>GCT ACT GCA CTG GCA GCC ACC ACT CAG CC</td>
<td>bp 2835-2863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delb1</td>
<td>CCT CCT TGT CCT TCA CTG AG</td>
<td>bp 3517-3498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 3’down</td>
<td>GCT ACC TCT CCT ATC AAT CTT GCA GTA GCC C</td>
<td>bp 3781-3811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 5’ up</td>
<td>ATT CGT CTG GAA TCT GTA TGA GCA GGC AGG GCC</td>
<td>bp 112-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-292</td>
<td>GCA CCC TAT TCT GGC TCC TT</td>
<td>“T” PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-709</td>
<td>ATG CTG TTG GTG GGC TTC TG</td>
<td>“T” PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-C</td>
<td>GGT AGG CAG GAG ATA AGG TCA</td>
<td>“T” PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-D</td>
<td>CAG TCA TTG ATC CTG GAG TGC</td>
<td>“T” PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 arm2</td>
<td>AGA ATT TGG AGT TCC AAA CAA GGT GAT C</td>
<td>“KO” PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTAup3</td>
<td>TAC ATG CCA ATA CAA TGT AGG CTG C</td>
<td>“KO” PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-7’up2</td>
<td>AGA GGC CAC ACG TAC CGC AGC ATC</td>
<td>“KO” PCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* bp indicate position of the primers on the L1 / EcoR I fragment in the vector pBluescriptIIKS.

3 Plasmid maps

pBluescriptIIKS (+/-) (Stratagene, La Jolla, USA)  
2961 bp; multiple cloning site 653 – 760

pSP72 (Promega, Mannheim, Germany)  
2462 bp; multiple cloning site 4 – 90

pTSC21k (Prof. H. van der Putten, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland)  
9098 bp, cloning site: Xho I (3920), Amp' removal by Not I (6757 and 9056)
DANKSAGUNG

An dieser Stelle möchte ich Frau Prof. Melitta Schachner danken für die Überlassung des Themas und die Möglichkeit der Durchführung am Institut für Biosynthese Neuraler Strukturen des ZMNH, sowie für ihre großzügige Unterstützung bei dieser Arbeit.

Herrn Prof. Peter Heisig gilt mein besonderer Dank für die Bereitschaft, diese Arbeit als externe Promotion zu betreuen.

Herrn Prof. Hermann van der Putten danke ich, dass er den Vektor mit der Thy-1.2 Kassette zur Verfügung gestellt hat.

Dr. Fabio Morellini danke ich für die *de novo* Einweisung in die phantastische Welt der Verhaltensbiologie und die umfangreiche Betreuung.

Dr. Vladimir Sytnyk und Dr. Irina Leshchyns’ka danke ich für die Anfertigung der hippocampalen Neuronenkulturen und die Einführung in die quantitative Analyse.

Dr. Gabriele Loers danke ich für die tatkräftige Hilfe bei der Präparation der cerebellaren Neuronenkulturen.

Für die Pflege der Mauslinien danke ich Gudrun Arndt, für die Genotypisierungen Achim Dahlmann.

Dank gilt auch allen Kollegen, die mich durch fachliche Gespräche und Hilfsbereitschaft im Labor unterstützt haben. Den früheren E05 Laborgeführten (Annette, Bettina, Sandra) danke ich außerdem, dass sie den Arbeitsalltag aufgeheizt haben.

Last but not least danke ich meiner Familie, die mir in jeder Hinsicht stets zur Seite gestanden hat, und unerschütterlich an mich geglaubt hat. Insbesondere die Zuversicht meiner Mutter hat mich getragen.
CURRICULUM VITAE

Meike Petra Zerwas
Geb. 04.08.1973, Aachen

Universität / Ausbildung

Seit 1999  Dissertation an der Universität Hamburg, ZMNH
2000-02 Aufbaustudium Molekularbiologie an der Universität Hamburg
1998-99  Biologiekurse an der University of Edinburgh, Department of Biology
08.06.1998  Approbation als Apothekerin
1997-98  Praktisches Jahr in der Harscamp Apotheke, Aachen
1992-97  Pharmaziestudium an der Universität Heidelberg, Fakultät für Pharmazie
1995  Pharmazie- kurse an der University of London, School of Pharmacy

Schule

1983-92  St. Ursula Gymnasium, Aachen (Abschluss mit Abitur)
1985  Burlingame Intermediate School, Burlingame, Kalifornien
1979-83  Grundschule Jesuitenstraße, Aachen
1982  El Carmelo Elementary School, Palo Alto, Kalifornien


Hamburg, September 2005
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**ADDENDUM**

Gefahrensymbole und RS-Sätze besonders relevanter Gefahrstoffe

**Acrylamid-Bis**
Gefahrensymbol: T
S-Satz: S 53-36/37-45

**Ammoniumperoxodisulfat**
Gefahrensymbol: O Xn
R-Satz: R 8-22-36/37/38-42/43
S-Satz: S 22-24-26-37

**EDTA**
Gefahrensymbol: Xi
R-Satz: R 36-52/53
S-Satz: S 61

**Ethidiumbromid**
Gefahrensymbol: T+
R-Satz: R 22-26-36/37/38-68
S-Satz: S 26-28.2-36/37-45

**Glutaraldehyd**
Gefahrensymbol: T N
R-Satz: R 22-23-34-42/43-50
S-Satz: S 26-36/37/39-45-61

**2-Mercaptoethanol**
Gefahrensymbol: T N
R-Satz: R 22-24-34-51/53
S-Satz: S 26-36/37/39-45-61

**Methanol**
Gefahrensymbol: F T
R-Satz: R 11-23/24-25-39/23/24/25
S-Satz: S 7-16-36/37-45

**Methylenblau**
Gefahrensymbol: Xn
R-Satz: R 22

**Natriumdodecylsulfat**
Gefahrensymbol: F Xn
R-Satz: R 11-21-22-36/37/38
S-Satz: S 26-36/37
Paraformaldehyd

Gefahrensymbol

R-Satz R 20/22-36/37/38-40-43 S-Satz S 22-26-36/37

Proteinase K

Gefahrensymbol

R-Satz R 36/37/38-42 S-Satz S 22-24-26-36/37

TAE

Gefahrensymbol

R-Satz R 36/38-43 S-Satz S 24-26-37

TEMED

Gefahrensymbol

R-Satz R 11-20/22-34 S-Satz S 16-26-36/37/39-45

Tris

Gefahrensymbol

R-Satz R 36/38

Triton X-100

Gefahrensymbol

R-Satz R 22-41 S-Satz S 24-26-39

Trypsin

Gefahrensymbol

R-Satz R 36/37/38-42 S-Satz S 22-24-26-36/37